Large Extra Dimensions and Neutrino Experiments

Christoph Andreas Ternes



Napoli, July 15th 2022

EUROPEAN COOPERATION IN SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare SEZIONE DI TORINO



Neutrino oscillations



$$P_{\nu_{\alpha}\to\nu_{\beta}}(t) = \left|A_{\nu_{\alpha}\to\nu_{\beta}}(t)\right|^{2} = \sum_{k,j} U_{\alpha k}^{*} U_{\beta k} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^{*} e^{-i(E_{k}-E_{j})t}$$

Three-neutrino oscillations

Neutrino mixing matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Three mixing angles $\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{23}$ 1 Dirac + 2 Majorana CP-phases
- Three masses m_1, m_2, m_3 for which two orderings are possible
- Oscillations are only sensitive to mass splittings



Three-neutrino oscillations

20 best fit $\pm 1\sigma$ 2σ range 3σ range parameter $7.50^{+0.22}_{-0.20}$ $\Delta m_{21}^2 [10^{-5} \text{eV}^2]$ 7.12 - 7.936.94 - 8.1415 ²∕10 $2.55_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$ $|\Delta m_{31}^2| [10^{-3} \text{eV}^2] \text{ (NO)}$ 2.49 - 2.602.47 - 2.63 $2.45_{-0.03}^{+0.02}$ $|\Delta m_{31}^2|[10^{-3} \text{eV}^2]$ (IO) 2.39 - 2.502.37 - 2.535 $\sin^2 \theta_{12} / 10^{-1}$ 3.18 ± 0.16 2.86 - 3.522.71 - 3.690.2 0.4 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.016 0.020 0.024 0.028 0.3 $\sin^2\theta_{12}$ $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ $\sin^2\theta_{13}$ $\sin^2 \theta_{23} / 10^{-1}$ (NO) 5.74 ± 0.14 5.41 - 5.994.34 - 6.1020 $5.78^{+0.10}_{-0.17}$ $\sin^2 \theta_{23} / 10^{-1}$ (IO) 5.41 - 5.984.33 - 6.0815 $2.200^{+0.069}_{-0.062}$ $\sin^2 \theta_{13} / 10^{-2}$ (NO) 2.069 - 2.3372.000 - 2.405ZX 10 $2.225_{-0.070}^{+0.064}$ $\sin^2 \theta_{13} / 10^{-2}$ (IO) 2.086 - 2.3562.018 - 2.424 $1.08\substack{+0.13\\-0.12}$ δ/π (NO) 5 0.84 - 1.420.71 - 1.99 $1.58^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$ δ/π (IO) 1.26 - 1.851.11 - 1.9665 7.0 7.5 8.0 8.5 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 $\Delta m_{21}^2 [10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2]$ $|\Delta m_{31}^2|$ [10⁻³ eV²] δ/π

Valencia - Global Fit, 2006.11237, JHEP 2021

See also: NuFit - 2111.03086 , Universe 2021

Christoph Ternes

See also:

Bari – 2107.00532, PRD 2021

Large Extra Dimensions

Motivation

Theories with Large Extra Dimensions (LED) originally constructed to solve the Standard Models hierarchy problem

New particles moving in standard and new dimensions can generate neutrino masses

The Kaluza-Klein excitations of the higher-dimensional right-handed neutrinos behave like an infinite tower of sterile neutrinos

The standard neutrino oscillation probability is affected by the parameters describing the Large Extra Dimension

Diagonalization is performed in two steps. Neutrino mixing is given by

$$\nu_{\alpha L} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_{\alpha i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{in} \nu_{iL}^{(n)} \qquad (V_{in})^2 = \frac{2}{1 + \pi^2 (m_i^{\rm D} R_{\rm ED})^2 + (m_i^{(n)} / m_i^{\rm D})^2} \qquad m_i^{(n)} = \lambda_i^{(n)} / R_{\rm ED}$$

$$\lambda_i^{(n)} - \pi \left(m_i^{\mathrm{D}} R_{\mathrm{ED}} \right)^2 \cot \left(\pi \lambda_i^{(n)} \right) = 0 \qquad \lambda_i^{(n)} \in [n, n+1/2].$$

Dienes, Dudas, Gherghetta, hep-ph/9811428, NPB 1999

Arkani-Hamed, Dimopoulos, Dvali, March-Russell, hep-ph/9811448, PRD 2001

Strategy:

- Using $m_1^{
 m D}$ calculate $\left(\lambda_1^{(0)}
 ight)^2$.
- Calculate

Caution!

$$\Delta m_{ij}^2 \neq (m_i^D)^2 - (m_j^D)^2$$
$$\Delta m_{kj}^2 = (m_k^{(0)})^2 - (m_j^{(0)})^2$$

$$\left(\lambda_{3}^{(0)}\right)^{2} = \left(\lambda_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{2} + R_{\rm ED}^{2}\Delta m_{\rm atm}^{2} \qquad \left(\lambda_{2}^{(0)}\right)^{2} = \left(\lambda_{1}^{(0)}\right)^{2} + R_{\rm ED}^{2}\Delta m_{\rm so}^{2}$$

- Now obtain remaining Dirac masses from

$$\lambda_i^{(n)} - \pi \left(m_i^D R_{\rm ED} \right)^2 \cot \left(\pi \lambda_i^{(n)} \right) = 0$$

- Next solve for all modes of interest

Basto-Gonzales, Esmaili, Peres 1205.6212, PLB 2013

LED effect the oscillations of neutrinos

$$P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{3} U_{\alpha k} U_{\beta k}^{*} \exp\left(-\frac{m_{k}^{2} L}{2E_{\nu}}\right) \right|^{2}$$

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}} = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} V_{in}^{2} \exp\left(-i\frac{(m_{i}^{(n)})^{2}L}{2E}\right) \right|^{2}$$

LED effect the oscillations of neutrinos in far and near detectors



JUNO FD; NO; n=5, R=0.5µm, m₀=0.1 eV



LED effect the oscillations of neutrinos

Different entrees of the mixing matrix are relevant for NO and IO

This leads to an enhanced sensitivity in IO when considering electron neutrino experiments

Forero, Giunti, Ternes, Tyagi, 2207.02790

SBN anomalies

10³





Reactor rate and Gallium experiments measure a deficit in the flux with respect to expectation



LED oscillations provided an alternative explanation of the Reactor and Gallium anomalies

Machado, Nunokawa, dos Santos, Zukanovich Funchal 1107.2400, PRD 2012



We perform an up-to-date analysis of reactor rate data...

Forero, Giunti, Ternes, Tyagi, 2207.02790



...and the GALLIUM data

Forero, Giunti, Ternes, Tyagi, 2207.02790



BEST data is pushing the preferred region away from the RAA region

LED at long(ish) baselines

We also use data from Daya Bay and MINOS/MINOS+ to bound LED

Daya Bay uses 6 reactor cores (electron neutrinos) and measures the neutrino spectra at 3 experimental sites

MINOS/MINOS+ used the NUMI beam (muon neutrinos) from Fermilab to measure the neutrino flux at a near and a far detector

LED at long(ish) baselines



Daya Bay is most dominant for IO, while both experiments contribute to the region for NO

Forero, Giunti, Ternes, Tyagi, 2207.02790

LED at KATRIN

KATRIN measures the spectrum

$$R_{\beta}(E) \simeq \frac{G_{\rm F}^2 \cos^2 \theta_{\rm C}}{2\pi^3} |\mathcal{M}|^2 F(E, Z+1) \\ \times (E+m_e) \sqrt{(E+m_e)^2 - m_e^2} \\ \times \sum_j \zeta_j \varepsilon_j \sqrt{\varepsilon_j^2 - m_\beta^2} \Theta(\varepsilon_j - m_\beta)$$

where the last part gets modified to

$$K(E, m_0, R_{ED}) = \sum_j p_j \epsilon_j \sum_{i=1}^3 |U_{ei}|^2 \sum_{n=0}^\infty (V_{in})^2 \sqrt{\epsilon_j^2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_i^{(n)}}{R_{ED}}\right)^2} \Theta\left(\epsilon_j - \frac{\lambda_i^{(n)}}{R_{ED}}\right)$$

LED at KATRIN



KATRIN bounds the allowed regions for arbitrary small values of the compactification radius

Forero, Giunti, Ternes, Tyagi, 2207.02790

Combined bound on LED



Daya Bay, MINOS and KATRIN contribution particularly important to the allowed regions

Forero, Giunti, Ternes, Tyagi, 2207.02790

Combined bound on LED



We can set quite strong bounds on the compactification radius

Forero, Giunti, Ternes, Tyagi, 2207.02790

Bounds on LED

Neutrino experiments:

 $R_{\rm ED} < 0.20 \ \mu {\rm m}$ at 90% C.L. for NO $R_{\rm ED} < 0.10 \ \mu {\rm m}$ at 90% C.L. for IO.

CMS:

 $R_{\rm ED} < 4.8~\mu{\rm m}$ at 95% C.L.

Table top gravitational experiments:

Astrophysical tests:

 $R_{\rm ED} < 37 \ \mu {\rm m}$ at 95% C.L.

 $R_{\rm ED} < 0.16 - 916$ nm at 95% C.L.

LED neutrino outlook



Current bounds are stronger than the expectations from next generation experiments **KATRIN** data will become more constraining in the

near future

Basto-Gonzalez, Forero, Giunti, Quiroga, Ternes, 2112.00379, PRD 2022

Conclusions

Neutrino oscillation experiments can be used to bound models with new states like models with Large Extra Dimensions

A LED explanation of the Gallium and reactor anomaly is excluded

Strong bounds on LED parameters can be set from the combined analysis of MINOS, Daya Bay and KATRIN data

The bound on the compactification radius of the largest LED from neutrino experiments is about two orders of magnitude stronger than from table top experiments



LED model

Add 5-dim Fermion fields to SM $\Psi^{\alpha} = (\psi_{L}^{\alpha}, \psi_{R}^{\alpha})$

They appear as Kaluza Klein exitations in our 4-dim brane

$$\begin{split} \nu_{R}^{\alpha(0)} &= \psi_{R}^{\alpha(0)}, \\ \nu_{L,R}^{\alpha(n)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_{L,R}^{\alpha(n)} + \psi_{L,R}^{\alpha(-n)}), \quad \text{for } n = 1, ..., \infty, \end{split}$$

One obtains

$$egin{split} \mathcal{L} &= m^D_{lphaeta}igg(ar{
u}^{lpha(0)}_R
u^eta_L^eta + \sqrt{2}\sum_{n=0}^\inftyar{
u}^{lpha(n)}_R
u^eta_L^igg) \ &+ \sum_{n=1}^\inftyrac{n}{R_{ ext{ED}}}ar{
u}^{lpha(n)}_R
u^{lpha(n)}_L + ext{H.c.}, \end{split}$$

LED model

First step of diagonalization:

$$\operatorname{diag}(m_1^D, m_2^D, m_3^D) = R^{\prime \dagger} m^D U$$

with

$$\begin{split} \nu_L^{\alpha} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 U_{\alpha i} \nu_L^{i(0)}, \\ \nu_R^{\alpha(0)} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_{\alpha i} \nu_R^{i(0)}, \\ \nu_{L,R}^{\alpha(n)} &= \sum_{i=1}^3 R'_{\alpha i} \nu_{L,R}^{i(n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, ..., \infty. \end{split}$$

LED model

This leads to

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} ar{
u}_R^i M_i
u_L^i + ext{H.c.}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \nu_{L,R}^{i} &= (\nu_{L,R}^{i(0)}, \nu_{L,R}^{i(1)}, \ldots)^{T}, \\ M_{i} &= \begin{pmatrix} m_{i}^{D} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ \sqrt{2}m_{i}^{D} & 1/R_{\text{ED}} & 0 & \ldots \\ \sqrt{2}m_{i}^{D} & 0 & 2/R_{\text{ED}} & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$