LUDWIG-MAXIMILIANS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN



Extracting cosmological dynamics from quantum gravity

Daniele Oriti

Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics Munich Center for Mathematical Philosophy Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology Center for Advanced Studies Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany, EU

QG-MM conference - Univ. Naples - 14.7.2022

Arnold Sommerfeld

CENTER FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS



MUNICH CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL PHILOSOPHY

the problem:

extracting gravitational (cosmological) dynamics from non-spatiotemporal QG











shared issue of most QG formalisms

- quantum states and dynamics of pre-geometric dof: purely combinatorial and algebraic (e.g. spin networks)
 - interpretation (at best) as discrete geometry (algebraic data ~ discrete geometry)





superposition of quantum geometry and topology



superposition of quantum geometry and topology

• no manifold, no space/time directions, no fields in fundamental theory

m₄



- superposition of quantum geometry and topology
- no manifold, no space/time directions, no fields in fundamental theory
 - how to approximate with continuum geometric structures? how to connect to GR and EFT?

Tensorial Group Field Theory formalism, models with rich enough quantum geometry

a formalism for "non-spatiotemporal atoms of spacetime": universe as quantum many-body system



um geometry

amics

on

hiverse as quantum many-body system







applier of to far and when a XDI a dig and the birth



folded Juspan (2D) bater and the later

Tensorial Group Field Theory formalism, models with rich enough quantum geometry

dynamics of quantum atomic geometry

$$S(\varphi,\overline{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2} \int [dg_i] \overline{\varphi(g_i)} \mathcal{K}(g_i) \varphi(g_i) + \frac{\lambda}{D!} \int [dg_{ia}] \varphi(g_{i1}) \dots \varphi(\overline{g}_{iD}) \mathcal{V}(g_{ia},\overline{g}_{iD}) + c.c.$$
$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} \ e^{i S_{\lambda}(\varphi,\overline{\varphi})} = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\lambda^{N_{\Gamma}}}{sym(\Gamma)} \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$$

Feynman diagrams = stranded diagrams dual to cellular complexesDe Pietri, Petronio, '00; R. Gurau, '10; ...of arbitrary topologyFeynman amplitudes (model-dependent) = spin foam models ("covariant LQG") = lattice gravity path integrals

Reisenberger,Rovelli, '00 (with group+Lie algebra variables) M. Finocchiaro, DO, '18

A. Baratin, DO, '11

Tensorial Group Field Theory formalism, models with rich enough quantum geometry

dynamics of quantum atomic geometry

 \mathcal{X}

$$S(\varphi,\overline{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2} \int [dg_i] \overline{\varphi(g_i)} \mathcal{K}(g_i) \varphi(g_i) + \frac{\lambda}{D!} \int [dg_{ia}] \varphi(g_{i1}) \dots \varphi(\overline{g}_{iD}) \mathcal{V}(g_{ia},\overline{g}_{iD}) + c.c.$$
$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \mathcal{D}\overline{\varphi} \ e^{i S_{\lambda}(\varphi,\overline{\varphi})} = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\lambda^{N_{\Gamma}}}{sym(\Gamma)} \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma}$$

Feynman diagrams = stranded diagrams dual to cellular complexesDe Pietri, Petronio, '00; R. Gurau, '10; ...of arbitrary topologyFeynman amplitudes (model-dependent) = spin foam models ("covariant LQG") = lattice gravity path integrals

Reisenberger,Rovelli, '00 (with group+Lie algebra variables) M. Finocchiaro, DO, '18 A. Baratin, DO, '11

extension to TGFT models including "matter" dofs - example: scalar matter

basic guideline for model-building (choosing GFT action):

GFT Feynman amplitudes = simplicial path integrals for gravity coupled to scalar fields

 domain of GFT field extended to include values of scalar fields with consequent extension of field operators, quantum states and operators on Fock space

note: new dofs are "matter" as much as others are "geometry" i.e. GFT with minimal coupled real sca proper description in terms of scalar fields coupled to geometry should emerge in continuum approximation In this section we give the GFT model which has

);with-**SSU(2)**2) **Cosmology as QG hydrodynamics**

opertator

ire that the state is in-

n of all group elements, tailed under (8) so that

gaugents have been im-ShQDT4candensatectates the actimes of the set of the set

the state in the second states and the second and the states and th

state created barticle dipole condensate

(Boaoliubr 🚽 ppri 🛶 ation)

ivariant data.

 $\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{g})$

cleado

eld φ :

The CEF Toldwamice sdee-The CEF Toldwamice sdee-Toldwamice sdee-T

to correspond to "most coarse-grained" dynamics

tive dynamics of rvables of full theory



QG hydrodynamics

aitwenparticle ired <u>gauge</u> invariance: {geometries of tetrahed theh≿~ {continuum spatial geometries at a point $\succeq \simeq$ \simeq minisuperspace of homogeneous geometricies $\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_I)\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(h_{\overline{I}}),$ (20) Gielenen,1414 $[h_I)] = 0$ the function ξ $\xi(kg_Ik')$ for all k, k' in amics function on the gaugesin(21e) tetrahedron. of candidate states for urations of tetrahedra: infinite Superposition of Feynman diagrams over discrete "spacetime" lattices) with still of the second sapping the second s innpanddyhandd; Anis hinefearudimen-

); SSU(2) Cosmglogy as QG hydrodynamics

{geometries of tetrahed theh≿~

o**pertao**r iningee:____

In addition to

state created barticle dipole condensate

(Boaoliubr 🚽 ppri 🛶 ation)

ire that the state is in-

n of all group elements,

gatugents have been im-SKGD⁴candewaategtates tBy action son Seti(29:5) It

avariant data.

 $\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{g})$

leado n(dr)

eld φ :

a itwo particle

 $\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_I)\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(h_{\overline{I}}),$

ized gauge invariance:

 $h_T = 0$ the function

 $\xi(kg_Ik')$ for all k, k' in function on the gauge-

The Contraction of QG atoms in the opposite state of QG atoms of QG atoms

to correspond to "most coarse-grained" dynamics

tive dynamics of _____ QG hydrodynamics rvables of full theory





{continuum spatial geometries at a point $\succeq \simeq$ minisuperspace of homogeneous geometaties $\sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\lambda^{N_{\Gamma}}}{sym(\Gamma)} \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} = \sum_{\Lambda} w(\Delta) \int \mathcal{D}g_{\Delta} \ e^{i S_{\Delta}(g_{\Delta})} \equiv \int \mathcal{D}g \ e^{i S(g)}$ $e^{i S_{\lambda}(\varphi,\overline{\varphi})}$

sin(gle) tetrahedron. of candidate states for

urations of tetrahedra:

with the set of the second sec

inneseddebyhandd; (\$)

to the second second second

present in the second in the second in the second second

);with-**SSU(2) Cosmology as QG hydrodynamics**

{geometries of tetrahed theh≿~

{continuum spatial geometries at a point $\succeq \simeq$

opertator

ire that the state is in-

n of all group elements, tailed under (8) so that

gaugents have been im-ShQDT4candensatectates the actimes of the set of the set

state created barticle dipole condensate

mini

(Boaoliubr _ ppr _ ation)

ivariant data.

 $\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{g})$

cleado n(d) I

eld φ :

aitwenparticle

 $\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_I)\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(h\widetilde{T}),$

ired <u>gauge</u> invariance:

The CEF Toldwamice sdee-The CEF Toldwamice sdee-Toldwamice sdee-T

to correspond to "most coarse-grained" dynamics

tive dynamics of QG hydrodynamics rvables of full theory





$$\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I})\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(h_{T}), \quad \text{minsuperspace of homogeneous geometaties}}_{[20]} \hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(h_{T}), \quad \text{minsuperspace of homogeneous geometaties}}_{[120]} = 0 \text{ the function } \overline{\varphi} e^{i S_{\lambda}(\varphi, \overline{\varphi})} = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\lambda^{N_{\Gamma}}}{sym(\Gamma)} \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} = \sum_{\Delta} w(\Delta) \int \mathcal{D}g_{\Delta} e^{i S_{\Delta}(g_{\Delta})} \equiv \int \mathcal{D}g e^{i S(g)}$$

function on the gauge-

 $\left[\frac{\partial Z}{\partial T}\right] \equiv 0$ the function

- sin(21a) upothesise relevant regime is QG hydrodynamics of candidate states for
- urations of tetrahedra:
- infinite superposition of Feynman diagrams (infinite and over discrete "spacetime" lattices)
- with still the observed set of the set of th
- sapping the second s
- inpredebyhandd; E
- Anis hinefearudimen-
- the state in the second states and the second second
- and the states and th

hypothesis: geometric phase is QG condensate phase

(universe as QG fluid)

);withh-**SSU(2) Cosmology as QG hydrodynamics**

opertaor

ivariant data.

 $\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{g})$

cleado

eld φ :

dimenparticle

 $\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_I)\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(h\widetilde{T}),$

ired <u>gauge</u> invariance:

The CEF Toldwamice sdee-The CEF Toldwamice sdee-Toldwamice sdee-T



$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{F} \\ \alpha \in \mathcal{F} \\ \alpha \in \mathcal{F} \\ k' \text{ in } \\ \alpha u \in \mathcal{F} }} e^{i S_{\lambda}(\varphi, \overline{\varphi})} = \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{\lambda^{N_{\Gamma}}}{sym(\Gamma)} \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma} = \sum_{\Delta} w(\Delta) \int \mathcal{D}g_{\Delta} \ e^{i S_{\Delta}(g_{\Delta})} \equiv \int \mathcal{D}g \ e^{i S(g)}$$

 $\xi(kg_Ik')$ for all k, k' in function on the gauge-

 $h_{T}^{j} = 0 \text{ the function } \overline{\varphi}$

sin(21a) upot the sign relevant regime is QG hydrodynamics of candidate states for

wations of tetrahedra:

infinite superposition of Feynman diagrams (infinite superposition of spacetime" lattices) algo so take obso smological wave function

enafativite to participation of the second second

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Main and Estapproximation: Manuel Control hypothesis: geometric phase is QG condensate phase

(universe as QG fluid)

$$\Gamma[\phi] = \sup_{J} \left(J \cdot \phi - F(J) \right) \quad \langle \varphi \rangle = \phi$$

 $\Gamma[\phi] \approx S_{\lambda}(\phi)$

mean field ~ condensate wavefunction

hypothesis: relevant regime is QG hydrodynamics

TGFT condensate hydrodynamics

* simplest approximation: mean field hydrodynamics

 $\Gamma[\phi] \approx S_{\lambda}(\phi)$

hypothesis: geometric phase is QG condensate phase

(universe as QG fluid)

mean field ~ condensate wavefunction

hypothesis: relevant regime is QG hydrodynamics

TGFT condensate hydrodynamics

* simplest approximation: mean field hydrodynamics

 $\Gamma[\phi] \approx S_{\lambda}(\phi)$

hypothesis: geometric phase is QG condensate phase

(universe as QG fluid)

mean field ~ condensate wavefunction

Gielen, DO, Sindoni, '13; DO, Sindoni, Wilson-Ewing, '16

• general form of resulting (Gross-Pitaevskii) equations of motion for condensate wavefunction (mean field):

$$\int [dg'] d\chi' \mathcal{K}(g,\chi;g',\chi') \sigma(g',\chi') + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi} \mathcal{V}(\varphi)|_{\varphi \equiv \sigma} = 0$$
 polynomial functional of condensate wavefunction

hypothesis: relevant regime is QG hydrodynamics

TGFT condensate hydrodynamics

* simplest approximation: mean field hydrodynamics

 $\Gamma[\phi] \approx S_{\lambda}(\phi)$

hypothesis: geometric phase is QG condensate phase

(universe as QG fluid)

mean field ~ condensate wavefunction

Gielen, DO, Sindoni, '13; DO, Sindoni, Wilson-Ewing, '16

• general form of resulting (Gross-Pitaevskii) equations of motion for condensate wavefunction (mean field):

$$\int [dg'] d\chi' \mathcal{K}(g,\chi;g',\chi') \sigma(g',\chi') + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi} \mathcal{V}(\varphi)|_{\varphi \equiv \sigma} = 0$$
 polynomial functional of condensate wavefunction

• cosmological interpretation natural due to general fact:

isomorphism between dor	main of TGF	F condensate wavefunction and minisuperpsace	
$\sigma\left(\mathcal{D} ight)$	${\cal D}~\simeq$	$\{\text{geometries of tetrahedron}\} \simeq$	S Gialan DO L Sindani 12
S. Gielen, '14 A. Jercher, DO, A. Pithis, '21	\simeq	{continuum spatial geometries at a point} \simeq	S. Glelen, DO, L. Sindoni, 13
	\simeq	minisuperspace of homogeneous geometries	+ "matter" data

hypothesis: relevant regime is QG hydrodynamics

TGFT condensate hydrodynamics

* simplest approximation: mean field hydrodynamics

 $\Gamma[\phi] \approx S_{\lambda}(\phi)$

hypothesis: geometric phase is QG condensate phase

(universe as QG fluid)

mean field ~ condensate wavefunction

Gielen, DO, Sindoni, '13; DO, Sindoni, Wilson-Ewing, '16

• general form of resulting (Gross-Pitaevskii) equations of motion for condensate wavefunction (mean field):

$$\int [dg'] d\chi' \mathcal{K}(g,\chi;g',\chi') \sigma(g',\chi') + \lambda \frac{\delta}{\delta\varphi} \mathcal{V}(\varphi)|_{\varphi \equiv \sigma} = 0$$
 polynomial functional of condensate wavefunction

• cosmological interpretation natural due to general fact:

isomorphism between dor	main of TGF	F condensate wavefunction and minisuperpsace	
$\sigma\left(\mathcal{D} ight)$	${\cal D}~\simeq$	$\{\text{geometries of tetrahedron}\} \simeq$	S Giolon DO L Sindoni 12
S. Gielen, '14 A. Jercher, DO, A. Pithis, '21	\simeq	{continuum spatial geometries at a point} \simeq	S. Glelen, DO, L. Sindoni, 13
	\simeq	minisuperspace of homogeneous geometries	+ "matter" data

cosmology as QG hydrodynamics ~ non-linear extension of (loop) quantum cosmology

general mean field eqns for quantum geometry coupled to 5 scalar fields in peaked states

general form of dynamics - work with parametrized ambiguities

$$S_{\rm GFT} = K + U + U^*$$

$$K = \int dg_I dh_I \int d^d \chi d^d \chi' d\phi d\phi' \bar{\varphi}(g_I, \chi) \mathcal{K}(g_I, h_I; (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda, (\phi - \phi')^2) \varphi(h_I, (\chi')^\mu, \phi')$$

$$U = \int d^d \chi d\phi \int \left(\prod_{a=1}^5 dg_I^a\right) \mathcal{U}(g_I^1, \dots, g_I^5) \prod_{\ell=1}^5 \varphi(g_I^\ell, \chi^\mu, \phi) \quad (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda \equiv \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) M_{\mu\nu}^{(\lambda)} (\chi - \chi')^\mu (\chi - \chi')^\nu$$

general mean field eqns for quantum geometry coupled to 5 scalar fields in peaked states

general form of dynamics - work with parametrized ambiguities

$$S_{\rm GFT} = K + U + U^*$$

$$K = \int dg_I dh_I \int d^d \chi \, d^d \chi' \, d\phi \, d\phi' \, \bar{\varphi}(g_I, \chi) \mathcal{K}(g_I, h_I; (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda, (\phi - \phi')^2) \varphi(h_I, (\chi')^\mu, \phi')$$

$$U = \int d^d \chi \, d\phi \int \left(\prod_{a=1}^5 dg_I^a\right) \mathcal{U}(g_I^1, \dots, g_I^5) \prod_{\ell=1}^5 \varphi(g_I^\ell, \chi^\mu, \phi) \quad (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda \equiv \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) M_{\mu\nu}^{(\lambda)} (\chi - \chi')^\mu (\chi - \chi')^\nu$$

• restriction to "good clock+rods" simple condensate states - peakedness properties on clock/rod values

$$\sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_0,\pi_x;x^{\mu}}(g_I,\chi^{\mu},\phi) = \eta_{\epsilon}(\chi^0 - x^0;\pi_0)\eta_{\delta}(|\boldsymbol{\chi} - \mathbf{x}|;\pi_x)\tilde{\sigma}(g_I,\chi^{\mu},\phi)$$
L. Marchetti, DO, '20, '21

 $|\mathbf{\chi} - \mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d (\chi^i - x^i)^2 \qquad \mathbb{C} \ \ni \ \delta = \ \delta_r + i\delta_i \qquad \delta_r > 0 \qquad \epsilon, |\delta| \ll 1 \qquad z_0 \equiv \epsilon \pi_0^2/2 \qquad z \equiv \delta \pi_x^2/2$

general mean field eqns for quantum geometry coupled to 5 scalar fields in peaked states

general form of dynamics - work with parametrized ambiguities

$$S_{\rm GFT} = K + U + U^*$$

$$K = \int dg_I dh_I \int d^d \chi \, d^d \chi' \, d\phi \, d\phi' \, \bar{\varphi}(g_I, \chi) \mathcal{K}(g_I, h_I; (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda, (\phi - \phi')^2) \varphi(h_I, (\chi')^\mu, \phi')$$

$$U = \int d^d \chi \, d\phi \int \left(\prod_{a=1}^5 dg_I^a\right) \mathcal{U}(g_I^1, \dots, g_I^5) \prod_{\ell=1}^5 \varphi(g_I^\ell, \chi^\mu, \phi) \quad (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda \equiv \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) M^{(\lambda)}_{\mu\nu} (\chi - \chi')^\mu (\chi - \chi')^\nu$$

• restriction to "good clock+rods" simple condensate states - peakedness properties on clock/rod values $\sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_0,\pi_x;x^{\mu}}(g_I,\chi^{\mu},\phi) = \eta_{\epsilon}(\chi^0 - x^0;\pi_0)\eta_{\delta}(|\boldsymbol{\chi} - \mathbf{x}|;\pi_x)\tilde{\sigma}(g_I,\chi^{\mu},\phi) \qquad \text{L. Marchetti, DO, '20, '21}$ $|\boldsymbol{\chi} - \mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d (\chi^i - x^i)^2 \qquad \mathbb{C} \ni \delta = \delta_r + i\delta_i \qquad \delta_r > 0 \qquad \epsilon, |\delta| \ll 1 \qquad z_0 \equiv \epsilon \pi_0^2/2 \qquad z \equiv \delta \pi_x^2/2$

• reduction to isotropic condensate configurations (depending on single variable j): $\sigma_j(\chi)$

general mean field eqns for quantum geometry coupled to 5 scalar fields in peaked states

general form of dynamics - work with parametrized ambiguities

$$S_{\rm GFT} = K + U + U^*$$

$$K = \int dg_I dh_I \int d^d \chi \, d^d \chi' \, d\phi \, d\phi' \, \bar{\varphi}(g_I, \chi) \mathcal{K}(g_I, h_I; (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda, (\phi - \phi')^2) \varphi(h_I, (\chi')^\mu, \phi')$$

$$U = \int d^d \chi \, d\phi \int \left(\prod_{a=1}^5 dg_I^a\right) \mathcal{U}(g_I^1, \dots, g_I^5) \prod_{\ell=1}^5 \varphi(g_I^\ell, \chi^\mu, \phi) \quad (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda \equiv \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) M^{(\lambda)}_{\mu\nu} (\chi - \chi')^\mu (\chi - \chi')^\nu$$

restriction to "good clock+rods" simple condensate states - peakedness properties on clock/rod values $\sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_0,\pi_x;x^{\mu}}(g_I,\chi^{\mu},\phi) = \eta_{\epsilon}(\chi^0 - x^0;\pi_0)\eta_{\delta}(|\chi - \mathbf{x}|;\pi_x)\tilde{\sigma}(g_I,\chi^{\mu},\phi)$ L. Marchetti, DO, '20, '21 $|\chi - \mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d (\chi^i - x^i)^2$ $\mathbb{C} \ni \delta = \delta_r + i\delta_i$ $\delta_r > 0$ $\epsilon, |\delta| \ll 1$ $z_0 \equiv \epsilon \pi_0^2/2$ $z \equiv \delta \pi_x^2/2$

- reduction to isotropic condensate configurations (depending on single variable j): $\sigma_j(\chi)$
- subdominant GFT interactions: U << K

general mean field eqns for quantum geometry coupled to 5 scalar fields in peaked states

general form of dynamics - work with parametrized ambiguities

dependence on both GFT model and states

$$S_{\rm GFT} = K + U + U^*$$

$$K = \int dg_I dh_I \int d^d \chi \, d^d \chi' \, d\phi \, d\phi' \, \bar{\varphi}(g_I, \chi) \mathcal{K}(g_I, h_I; (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda, (\phi - \phi')^2) \varphi(h_I, (\chi')^\mu, \phi')$$

$$U = \int d^d \chi \, d\phi \int \left(\prod_{a=1}^5 dg_I^a\right) \mathcal{U}(g_I^1, \dots, g_I^5) \prod_{\ell=1}^5 \varphi(g_I^\ell, \chi^\mu, \phi) \quad (\chi - \chi')^2_\lambda \equiv \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) M^{(\lambda)}_{\mu\nu} (\chi - \chi')^\mu (\chi - \chi')^\nu$$

 restriction to "good clock+rods" simple condensate states - peakedness properties on clock/rod values $\sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_0,\pi_x;x^{\mu}}(g_I,\chi^{\mu},\phi) = \eta_{\epsilon}(\chi^0 - x^0;\pi_0)\eta_{\delta}(|\boldsymbol{\chi} - \mathbf{x}|;\pi_x)\tilde{\sigma}(g_I,\chi^{\mu},\phi)$ L. Marchetti, DO, '20, '21 $|\boldsymbol{\chi} - \mathbf{x}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d (\chi^i - x^i)^2 \qquad \mathbb{C} \ \ni \ \delta = \ \delta_r + i\delta_i \qquad \delta_r > 0 \qquad \epsilon, |\delta| \ll 1 \qquad z_0 \equiv \epsilon \pi_0^2/2 \qquad z \equiv \delta \pi_x^2/2$

- reduction to isotropic condensate configurations (depending on single variable j): $\sigma_i(\chi)$
- subdominant GFT interactions: U << K ٠

resulting mean field hydrodynamics eqn:L. Marchetti, DO, '20, '21Fourier mode of
matter field variable
$$\partial_0^2 \tilde{\sigma}_j(x, \pi_{\phi}) - i\gamma \partial_0 \tilde{\sigma}_j(x, \pi_{\phi}) - {}^{(\lambda)}E_j^2(\pi_{\phi})\tilde{\sigma}_j(x, \pi_{\phi}) + \alpha^2 \nabla^2 \tilde{\sigma}_j(x, \pi_{\phi}) = 0$$
Fourier mode of
matter field variable $\gamma \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon}z_0}{\epsilon z_0^2}$ ${}^{(\lambda)}E_j^2 \equiv \frac{1}{\epsilon z_0^2} - r_{j;2}(\pi_{\phi})(1+3\lambda\alpha^2)$ $\alpha^2 \equiv \frac{1}{3}\frac{\delta z^2}{\epsilon z_0^2}$ $r_s^{(\lambda)} \equiv \frac{\tilde{K}_{\lambda}^{(s)}}{\tilde{K}_{\lambda}^{(0)}}$ dependence on both GFT model and stateslinear part of non-linear hydro eqns

using: $\tilde{\sigma}_j \equiv \rho_j \exp[i\theta_j]$ rewrite in standard hydrodynamic form (fluid density, phase)

homogeneous background + inhomogeneous perturbations (defined in relational terms)

$$\rho_j = \bar{\rho}_j + \delta \rho_j \qquad \theta_j \equiv \bar{\theta}_j + \delta \theta_j \qquad \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(x^0, \pi_\phi) \qquad \bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta}(x^0, \pi_\phi)$$

using: $\tilde{\sigma}_j \equiv \rho_j \exp[i\theta_j]$ rewrite in standard hydrodynamic form (fluid density, phase)

homogeneous background + inhomogeneous perturbations (defined in relational terms)

$$\rho_j = \bar{\rho}_j + \delta \rho_j \qquad \theta_j \equiv \bar{\theta}_j + \delta \theta_j \qquad \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(x^0, \pi_\phi) \qquad \bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta}(x^0, \pi_\phi)$$

background eqns:

$$\bar{\rho}_{j}''(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) - \left[\left(\bar{\theta}_{j}'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) \right)^{2} + {}^{(\lambda)}\eta_{j}^{2}(\pi_{\phi}) - \gamma \bar{\theta}_{j}'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) \right] \bar{\rho}_{j}(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) = 0$$

$$\bar{\theta}_{j}''(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) + \left(\bar{\theta}_{j}'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) - \gamma/2 \right) \frac{(\bar{\rho}_{j}^{2})'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi})}{\bar{\rho}_{j}^{2}(x^{0},\pi_{\phi})} - {}^{(\lambda)}\beta_{j}^{2} = 0$$

using: $\tilde{\sigma}_j \equiv \rho_j \exp[i\theta_j]$ rewrite in standard hydrodynamic form (fluid density, phase)

homogeneous background + inhomogeneous perturbations (defined in relational terms)

$$\rho_j = \bar{\rho}_j + \delta \rho_j \qquad \theta_j \equiv \bar{\theta}_j + \delta \theta_j \qquad \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(x^0, \pi_\phi) \qquad \bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta}(x^0, \pi_\phi)$$

background eqns:

$$\bar{\rho}_{j}''(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) - \left[\left(\bar{\theta}_{j}'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) \right)^{2} + {}^{(\lambda)}\eta_{j}^{2}(\pi_{\phi}) - \gamma \bar{\theta}_{j}'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) \right] \bar{\rho}_{j}(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) = 0$$

$$\bar{\theta}_{j}''(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) + \left(\bar{\theta}_{j}'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) - \gamma/2 \right) \frac{(\bar{\rho}_{j}^{2})'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi})}{\bar{\rho}_{j}^{2}(x^{0},\pi_{\phi})} - {}^{(\lambda)}\beta_{j}^{2} = 0$$

perturbations eqns:

highly coupled; decouple for large condensate density (large universe volume) and $|\operatorname{Im} \alpha^2| = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\pi_x^2 \delta_r |\delta_i|}{\epsilon^2 \pi_0^2} \ll 1$

$$0 \simeq \delta \rho_j''(x, \pi_{\phi}) - \nabla^2 \delta \rho_j(x, \pi_{\phi}) - {}^{(\lambda)} \eta_j^2(\pi_{\phi}) \delta \rho_j(x, \pi_{\phi})$$
$$0 \simeq \delta \theta_j''(x, \pi_{\phi}) + 2\delta \theta_j'(x, \pi_{\phi}) \frac{\bar{\rho}_j'(x^0, \pi_{\phi})}{\bar{\rho}_j(x^0, \pi_{\phi})} - \nabla^2 \delta \theta_j(x, \pi_{\phi})$$

using: $\tilde{\sigma}_j \equiv \rho_j \exp[i\theta_j]$ rewrite in standard hydrodynamic form (fluid density, phase)

homogeneous background + inhomogeneous perturbations (defined in relational terms)

$$\rho_j = \bar{\rho}_j + \delta \rho_j \qquad \theta_j \equiv \bar{\theta}_j + \delta \theta_j \qquad \bar{\rho} = \bar{\rho}(x^0, \pi_\phi) \qquad \bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta}(x^0, \pi_\phi)$$

background eqns:

$$\bar{\rho}_{j}''(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) - \left[\left(\bar{\theta}_{j}'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) \right)^{2} + {}^{(\lambda)}\eta_{j}^{2}(\pi_{\phi}) - \gamma \bar{\theta}_{j}'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) \right] \bar{\rho}_{j}(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) = 0$$

$$\bar{\theta}_{j}''(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) + \left(\bar{\theta}_{j}'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi}) - \gamma/2 \right) \frac{(\bar{\rho}_{j}^{2})'(x^{0},\pi_{\phi})}{\bar{\rho}_{j}^{2}(x^{0},\pi_{\phi})} - {}^{(\lambda)}\beta_{j}^{2} = 0$$

perturbations eqns:

highly coupled; decouple for large condensate density (large universe volume) and $|\operatorname{Im} \alpha^2| = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\pi_x^2 \delta_r |\delta_i|}{\epsilon^2 \pi_0^2} \ll 1$

$$0 \simeq \delta \rho_j''(x, \pi_{\phi}) - \nabla^2 \delta \rho_j(x, \pi_{\phi}) - {}^{(\lambda)} \eta_j^2(\pi_{\phi}) \delta \rho_j(x, \pi_{\phi})$$
$$0 \simeq \delta \theta_j''(x, \pi_{\phi}) + 2\delta \theta_j'(x, \pi_{\phi}) \frac{\bar{\rho}_j'(x^0, \pi_{\phi})}{\bar{\rho}_j(x^0, \pi_{\phi})} - \nabla^2 \delta \theta_j(x, \pi_{\phi})$$

now, need to obtain equations for physical observables

- number operator
- universe volume
- value of clock/rods scalar fields
- momentum of clock/rods scalar fields
- value of matter scalar field
- momentum of matter scalar field

$$\hat{N} = \int d^{n}\chi \int dg_{I} \,\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I}, \chi^{a}) \hat{\varphi}(g_{I}, \chi^{a})$$
$$\hat{V} = \int d^{n}\chi \int dg_{I} \,dg'_{I} \,\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I}, \chi^{a}) V(g_{I}, g'_{I}) \hat{\varphi}(g'_{I}, \chi^{a})$$
$$\hat{X}^{b} \equiv \int d^{n}\chi \int dg_{I} \,\chi^{b} \hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I}, \chi^{a}) \hat{\varphi}(g_{I}, \chi^{a})$$
$$\hat{\Pi}_{b} = \frac{1}{i} \int d^{n}\chi \int dg_{I} \left[\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I}, \chi^{a}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^{b}} \hat{\varphi}(g_{I}, \chi^{a}) \right) \right]$$
$$\hat{\Phi} = \frac{1}{i} \int dg_{I} \int d^{4}\chi \int d\pi_{\phi} \,\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I}, \chi^{\mu}, \pi_{\phi}) \partial_{\pi_{\phi}} \hat{\varphi}(g_{I}, \chi^{\mu}, \pi_{\phi})$$
$$\hat{\Pi}_{\phi} = \int dg_{I} \int d^{4}\chi \int d\pi_{\phi} \,\pi_{\phi} \hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I}, \chi^{\mu}, \pi_{\phi}) \hat{\varphi}(g_{I}, \chi^{\mu}, \pi_{\phi})$$



used to define collective relational observables for effective continuum dynamics

as expectation values in "good clock+rods" condensate states

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \text{number operator} & \hat{N} = \int \mathrm{d}^{n}\chi \int \mathrm{d}g_{I}\,\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I},\chi^{a})\hat{\varphi}(g_{I},\chi^{a}) \\ & \text{universe volume} & \hat{V} = \int \mathrm{d}^{n}\chi \int \mathrm{d}g_{I}\,\mathrm{d}g'_{I}\,\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I},\chi^{a})V(g_{I},g'_{I})\hat{\varphi}(g'_{I},\chi^{a}) \\ & \text{value of clock/rods scalar fields} & \hat{X}^{b} \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^{n}\chi \int \mathrm{d}g_{I}\,\chi^{b}\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I},\chi^{a})\hat{\varphi}(g_{I},\chi^{a}) \\ & \text{momentum of clock/rods scalar fields} & \hat{\Pi}_{b} = \frac{1}{i}\int \mathrm{d}^{n}\chi \int \mathrm{d}g_{I}\left[\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I},\chi^{a})\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi^{b}}\hat{\varphi}(g_{I},\chi^{a})\right)\right] \\ & \text{value of matter scalar field} & \hat{\Phi} = \frac{1}{i}\int \mathrm{d}g_{I}\int \mathrm{d}^{4}\chi \int \mathrm{d}\pi_{\phi}\,\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I},\chi^{\mu},\pi_{\phi})\partial_{\pi_{\phi}}\hat{\varphi}(g_{I},\chi^{\mu},\pi_{\phi}) \\ & \text{momentum of matter scalar field} & \hat{\Pi}_{\phi} = \int \mathrm{d}g_{I}\int \mathrm{d}^{4}\chi \int \mathrm{d}\pi_{\phi}\,\pi_{\phi}\hat{\varphi}^{\dagger}(g_{I},\chi^{\mu},\pi_{\phi})\hat{\varphi}(g_{I},\chi^{\mu},\pi_{\phi}) \end{array}$$

used to define collective relational observables for effective continuum dynamics as expectation values in "good clock+rods" condensate states

$$N(x^{0}, x^{i}) \equiv \langle \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} | \hat{N} | \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} \rangle \qquad V(x^{0}, x^{i}) \equiv \langle \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} | \hat{V} | \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} \rangle
X^{\mu}(x^{0}, x^{i}) \equiv \langle \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} | \hat{V} | \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} \rangle \simeq x^{\mu} \qquad \Pi(x^{0}, x^{i}) \equiv \langle \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} | \widehat{\Pi_{\nu}} | \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} \rangle
\phi(x^{0}, x^{i}) \equiv \langle \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} | \hat{\Phi} | \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} \rangle \qquad \Pi_{\phi}(x^{0}, x^{i}) \equiv \langle \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} | \widehat{\Pi_{\phi}} | \sigma_{\epsilon,\delta,\pi_{0},\pi_{x},x^{\mu}} \rangle$$

hydrodynamics eqns for cosmological observables

hydrodynamics eqns for cosmological observables

background volume dynamics:

L. Marchetti, DO, '21 A. Jercher, DO, A. Pithis, 21

$$\left(\frac{V'}{3V}\right)^2 \simeq \left(\frac{2\sum_j \int d\pi_\phi V_j \operatorname{sgn}(\rho')\rho_j \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_j - Q_j^2/\rho_j^2 + \mu_j^2 \rho_j^2}}{3\sum_j \int d\pi_\phi V_j \rho_j^2}\right)^2$$

$$\frac{V''}{V} \simeq \frac{2\sum_{j} \int d\pi_{\phi} V_{j} \left[\mathcal{E}_{j} + 2\mu_{j}^{2}\rho_{j}^{2}\right]}{\sum_{j} \int d\pi_{\phi} V_{j}\rho_{j}^{2}}$$

hydrodynamics eqns for cosmological observables

background volume dynamics:

L. Marchetti, DO, '21 A. Jercher, DO, A. Pithis, 21

$$\left(\frac{V'}{3V}\right)^2 \simeq \left(\frac{2\sum_j \int d\pi_\phi V_j \operatorname{sgn}(\rho')\rho_j \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_j - Q_j^2/\rho_j^2 + \mu_j^2 \rho_j^2}}{3\sum_j \int d\pi_\phi V_j \rho_j^2}\right)^2$$

$$\frac{V''}{V} \simeq \frac{2\sum_{j} \int d\pi_{\phi} V_{j} \left[\mathcal{E}_{j} + 2\mu_{j}^{2}\rho_{j}^{2}\right]}{\sum_{j} \int d\pi_{\phi} V_{j}\rho_{j}^{2}}$$

effective dynamics for scalar cosmological perturbations

average perturbed volume:
$$\delta V(x, \tilde{\pi}_{\phi}) \simeq 2V_{v_o} \bar{\rho}_{v_o}(x^0, \tilde{\pi}_{\phi}) \delta \bar{\rho}_{v_o}(x, \tilde{\pi}_{\phi})$$

eqn for volume perturbations: $\delta V'' - 2\mu_{v_o} \delta V' - \nabla^2 \delta V = \delta V'' - 3\mathcal{H} \delta V' - \nabla^2 \delta V = 0$
where correct Lorentzian signature is obtained if: $\operatorname{Re} \alpha^2 = \frac{\pi_x^2}{6\epsilon z_0^2} \left(\delta_r^2 - \delta_i^2\right) < 0$

n.b. localization is relational - non-trivial spatial dependence comes from non-trivial dependence of mean field perturbations on the relational rods

• can analyse dynamics of universe volume in different cosmological epochs

DO, L. Sindoni, E. Wilson-Ewing, '16; L. Marchetti, DO, '20



• can analyse dynamics of universe volume in different cosmological epochs

DO, L. Sindoni, E. Wilson-Ewing, '16; L. Marchetti, DO, '20

• very early times: very small volume - QG interactions subdominant

for large class of states:

$$\exists j / \rho_j(\chi) \neq 0 \,\forall \chi \blacksquare \blacksquare$$

$$V = \sum_{j} V_{j} \dot{\rho}_{j}^{2}$$

remains positive at all times (with single turning point)

quantum bounce (no big bang singularity)!





- can analyse dynamics of universe volume in different cosmological epochs
- DO, L. Sindoni, E. Wilson-Ewing, '16; L. Marchetti, DO, '20

• very early times: very small volume - QG interactions subdominant

for large class of states:

 $\exists j / \rho_j(\chi) \neq 0 \,\forall \chi$

 $V = \sum_{j} V_{j} \dot{\rho}_{j}^{2}$

remains positive at all times (with single turning point)

quantum bounce (no big bang singularity)!



• intermediate times: large volume - QG interactions still subdominant

under sufficient condition:

 $\mu_j = 3\pi \tilde{G}$ at least for some dominant j

$$\left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 = \frac{V''}{V} = 12\pi \hat{G}$$

classical Friedmann dynamics (wrt relational clock, with effective Newton constant)



- can analyse dynamics of universe volume in different cosmological epochs
 - **Smological epochs** L. Marchetti, DO, '20
- very early times: very small volume QG interactions subdominant

for large class of states:

$$V = \sum_{i} V_{j} \dot{\rho}_{i}^{2}$$

remains positive at all times (with single turning point)

quantum bounce (no big bang singularity)!

DO, L. Sindoni, E. Wilson-Ewing, '16;



• intermediate times: large volume - QG interactions still subdominant

under sufficient condition: $\mu_j = 3\pi \tilde{G} \quad \text{at least for some dominant j}$

 $\exists j / \rho_j(\chi) \neq 0 \ \forall \chi$

$$\left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 = \frac{V''}{V} = 12\pi\tilde{G}$$

classical Friedmann dynamics (wrt relational clock, with effective Newton constant)

late times: larger volume - QG interactions become dominant

accelerated cosmological expansion



- can analyse dynamics of universe volume in different cosmological epochs
 - **Smological epochs** L. Marchetti, DO, '20
- very early times: very small volume QG interactions subdominant

for large class of states:

$$V = \sum_{i} V_{j} \dot{\rho}_{i}^{2}$$

remains positive at all times (with single turning point)

quantum bounce (no big bang singularity)!

DO, L. Sindoni, E. Wilson-Ewing, '16;



• intermediate times: large volume - QG interactions still subdominant

under sufficient condition: $\mu_j = 3\pi \tilde{G} \quad \text{at least for some dominant j}$

 $\exists j / \rho_j(\chi) \neq 0 \ \forall \chi$

$$\left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 = \frac{V''}{V} = 12\pi\tilde{G}$$

classical Friedmann dynamics (wrt relational clock, with effective Newton constant)

late times: larger volume - QG interactions become dominant

accelerated cosmological expansion



- can analyse dynamics of universe volume in different cosmological epochs
- DO, L. Sindoni, E. Wilson-Ewing, '16; L. Marchetti, DO, '20

• very early times: very small volume - QG interactions subdominant

for large class of states:

$$V = \sum_{i} V_{j} \rho_{i}^{2}$$

remains positive at all times (with single turning point)

quantum bounce (no big bang singularity)!



• intermediate times: large volume - QG interactions still subdominant

under sufficient condition: $\mu_j = 3\pi \tilde{G} \quad \mbox{at least for some dominant j}$

 $\exists j / \rho_j(\chi) \neq 0 \ \forall \chi$

$$\left(\frac{V'}{V}\right)^2 = \frac{V''}{V} = 12\pi\tilde{G}$$

classical Friedmann dynamics (wrt relational clock, with effective Newton constant)

late times: larger volume - QG interactions become dominant

accelerated cosmological expansion



many more results

•

- cosmological perturbations S. Gielen, DO, '17 S. Gielen, '18 F. Gerhardt, DO, E. Wilson-Ewing, '18
- anisotropies
 A. Pithis, M. Sakellariadou, '16
 M. De Cesare, DO, A. Pithis, M. Sakellariadou, '17
 - "deparametrized" formulation
 E. Wilson-Ewing, '18, S. Gielen, A. Polaczek, '19
 - reduction to LQC dynamics G. Calcagni, '14; DO, L. Sindoni, E. Wilson-Ewing, '16
 - cosmological evolution from more general quantum states
 S. Gielen, A. Polaczek, '19
 - effects of TGFT interactions M. De Cesare, A. Pithis, M. Sakellariadou, '16

- lessons
- universe as quantum fluid; gravitational dynamics from QG hydrodynamics ("non-linear QC")
- in hydrodynamic approx., quantum bounce quite generic
- non-linear corrections are physically important (produce cosmic acceleration)
- gravitational couplings are (effective, dressed, running) functions of fundamental QG ones
- large-scale properties of universe could be of direct QG origin

- lessons
- universe as quantum fluid; gravitational dynamics from QG hydrodynamics ("non-linear QC")
- in hydrodynamic approx., quantum bounce quite generic
- non-linear corrections are physically important (produce cosmic acceleration)
- gravitational couplings are (effective, dressed, running) functions of fundamental QG ones
- · large-scale properties of universe could be of direct QG origin
- to be explored further
 - cosmological perturbations (also beyond mean field approx)
 - anisotropies
 - beyond mean field dynamics: quantum effective action, connectivity (spatial curvature?)
 - matching emergent cosmological dynamics (different QG models) with modified gravity
 - phase transitions, limits of hydrodynamic approximation, cosmological significance



- lessons
- universe as quantum fluid; gravitational dynamics from QG hydrodynamics ("non-linear QC")
- in hydrodynamic approx., quantum bounce quite generic
- non-linear corrections are physically important (produce cosmic acceleration)
- gravitational couplings are (effective, dressed, running) functions of fundamental QG ones
- · large-scale properties of universe could be of direct QG origin
- to be explored further
 - cosmological perturbations (also beyond mean field approx)
 - anisotropies
 - beyond mean field dynamics: quantum effective action, connectivity (spatial curvature?)
 - matching emergent cosmological dynamics (different QG models) with modified gravity
 - phase transitions, limits of hydrodynamic approximation, cosmological significance

Thank you for your attention

