



A B A A B A

# Noncommutative Field and Gauge Theory

Patrizia Vitale

Dipartimento di Fisica Università di Napoli "Federico II" and INFN

COST CA18108 2nd Training School Belgrade, Serbia 3.9-10.9 2022

# Outline

- Motivations
- Quantum Mechanics as the prototypical NC geometry
- The "classical picture" of NC theory of gauge and matter fields
  - The differential calculus Scalar field theory Gauge theory NCQED on Moyal space NCQED on  $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$  Symmetries: Covariance vs Twist
- Other approaches
  - Twist
  - Noncommutative field theory from angular twist
- Open problems
- Perspectives

- A TE N - A TE N

### Motivations for NCG

- Space-time noncommutativity as a signature of Quantum gravity
- Gedanken experiments which challenge the Riemannian structure of space-time at scales where both quantum mechanics and general relativity are relevant [Bronstein '36, Doplicher-Fredenhagen-Roberts '94]
- Regularization of QFT in the UV regime [Heisenberg '30, Snyder '47]
- Space-time discreteness emerging from different models of quantum gravity [e.g. LQG where the spectrum of area and volume operators is discrete [Ashtekhar '01]; Group Field Theory [Oriti '06]]
- Low energy regimes of strings in the presence of a background field B [Seiberg-Witten '99] already in [Witten '86] in the context of string field theory

イロト イポト イラト イラト

### DFR argument

Attempts to localize with extreme precision cause gravitational collapse so that spacetime below the Planck scale  $\lambda_P = (\frac{G\hbar}{c^3})^1/2 \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-33}$  has no operational meaning

• Heisenberg uncertainty principle: measuring the spacetime coordinate of a particle with great accuracy, *a*, causes an uncertainty in momentum of order  $\frac{1}{a}$  (in natural units)

 $\Rightarrow$  an energy of order 1/a is transmitted to the system and concentrated at some time in the localization region;

• General Relativity: the associated energy momentum tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$  generates a gravitational field solution of Einstein's equation for Minkowski metric

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}R\eta_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$$

► the smaller the uncertainty  $\Delta x_{\mu}$  the stronger will be the gravitational field generated

as ∆x → 0 the field becomes so strong as to prevent light or other signals from leaving the region
 ⇒ operational meaning can no longer be attached to the localization

By requiring that no blackhole is produced DFT infer that the  $\Delta x_{\mu}$  cannot be made simultaneously arbitrary small

 $\implies$  Uncertainty relations among coordinates emerge

$$\Delta x_{\mu} \Delta x_{\nu} \ge \lambda_P^2$$

Learning from quantum mechanics: uncertainty relations can be explained by admitting that coordinates be noncommuting

$$[x_{\mu}, x_{\nu}] \neq 0$$

- $\implies$  Noncommutative, or Quantum Spacetime
  - Spacetime observables (what where smooth functions on classical spacetime) become operators
  - States (what where points of classical spacetime, namely "evaluation maps" on the space of classical observables ω : f → f(ω) become "quantum evaluation maps"

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

#### The prototype NC geometry

### The simplest instance of NCG is Quantum Mechanics

- Classical Phase-Space as a differentiable manifold is lost
- Classical observables Operators
- Phase space coordinate functions  $q, p \rightarrow$  noncommuting operators
- ► The uncertainty principle  $\Delta q \Delta p \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}$  implies the existence of a minimal area in phase space
- ▶ classical states (points on phase space) → vectors in Hilbert space

イロト イポト イラト イラト

## The Wigner-Weyl-Moyal approach

QM can be described in a classical-like setting

• Operators  $\longrightarrow$  Symbols (functions on  $T\mathbb{R}^n$ )

$$\hat{A} \longrightarrow f_{\hat{A}}(q,p) = \operatorname{Tr} \hat{A} \hat{\Omega}(q,p)$$

with

$$\hat{\Omega}(q,p) = \int \mathrm{d}\eta \mathrm{d}\xi \, e^{i(\eta \cdot \hat{P} + \xi \cdot \hat{Q})} e^{-i(\eta \cdot p + \xi \cdot q)} \quad (\hbar = 1)$$

the Weyl-Stratonovich operator or simply quantizer (dequantizer) operator state  $\rho \longrightarrow W_{\hat{\rho}}(q, p) = \operatorname{Tr} \hat{\rho} \hat{\Omega}(q, p)$  the Wigner function

operator product  $\longrightarrow$  star product  $\star$ 

$$\hat{A} \cdot \hat{B} \longrightarrow f_{\hat{A}} \star f_{\hat{B}}(q,p) = \operatorname{Tr} \left( \hat{A} \hat{B} \hat{\Omega}(q,p) \right)$$

• this yields in particular  $q \star p - p \star q = i$ 

•  $(\mathcal{F}(T^*R^n), \star_{\hbar})$  prototype NC algebra

### Standard picture of gauge and matter fields-Review

- ▶  $M = \mathbb{R}^4$  space-time
- matter fields describing particles are vector fields, namely maps from space-time to vectors: such maps are formalised as sections of vector bundles
- what kind of vectors: they carry a representation of the gauge group determined by the interaction they feel; physics says that the representation is the fundamental one (the group characterises the kind of vector bundle)

electrically charged matter fields are 1-dim complex vector fields (wrt the group U(1)

fields carrying a weak charge are two-dim complex vector fields (wrt the group SU(2)

fields carrying strong charge are three-dim complex vector fields (wrt the group SU(3)

Namely matter fields are organised in multiplets, of dimension depending on the interaction. They can carry more that one representation (e.g. the electron is a 1-dim complex vector field under U(1) but part of a doublet, with its neutrino under SU(2)

gauge fields, A<sub>μ</sub>, F<sub>μν</sub> represent the radiation fields, namely the bosons which mediate the interactions (electromagnetic, weak, strong, gravitational in some sense); they are Lie algebra valued components of forms

 $A = A^{a}_{\mu}dx^{\mu}\tau_{a} \quad \tau_{a} \in \mathfrak{g} \qquad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}(1), \mathfrak{su}(2), \mathfrak{su}(3)$  $F = F^{a}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu} \wedge dx^{\nu}\tau_{a} \quad F^{a}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial A_{\mu} - iA^{b}_{\mu}A^{c}_{\nu}f^{a}_{bc}$ 

More formally: A is a Lie algebra valued connection one-form; F is the curvature two-form of A:  $F = DA = dA + A \land A$ 

gauge group: smooth maps from space-time to some unitary Lie group

$$\widehat{G} = \{g: x \in \mathbb{R}^4 
ightarrow g(x) \in G\}$$

 radiation fields are responsible for the modification of derivatives of vector fields: a connection is needed (think in analogy with gravitational field, which curves space-time)

$$\partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\psi} 
ightarrow 
abla_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\psi}$$

 $\boldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbf{e}_i \psi^i$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_i$  are basis sections; i = 1, ..n runs over the dimension of the representation

$$abla_{\mu} oldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbf{e}_i \partial_{\mu} \psi^i + 
abla_{\mu} (\mathbf{e}_i) \psi^i$$

 $\nabla$ : *vectors*  $\rightarrow$  *vectors* is the (Koszul) connection, namely how derivatives should be performed when acting no longer on scalars, but on vectors

$$\nabla(\mathbf{e}_i) = -i(A)_i^j \mathbf{e}_j \longrightarrow \nabla_{\mu}(\mathbf{e}_i) = -i(A(\partial_{\mu}))_i^j \mathbf{e}_j$$

 $A(\partial_{\mu}) = A_{\mu}$  is the connection one form component in space-time. It is also a  $n \times n$  matrix, *n* the dimension of the representation  $F(\partial_{\mu}, \partial_{\nu})\psi = [\nabla_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}]\psi$  is the field strength; It is a two-form component in space-time. It is also a  $n \times n$  matrix, *n* the dimension of the representation

-

### NC theory of gauge and matter fields

[Connes, Dubois-Violette, Grosse, Madore, Wess, Chaichian, Gracia-Bondia, Jurco, Schupp, Schraml, Szabo, Sheikh-Jabbari, Wallet, Wulkenhaar, Steinacker, Lizzi, Buric, Radovanovic, Presnajder, Chepelev, Roiban, Seiberg, Witten, van Raamsdonk, Alvarez- Gaumé, Rivasseau, Aschieri, Zoupanos, Dimitrijevic, Jonke ....]

The "classical picture" of noncommutative gauge and matter fields is described in terms of

- a noncommutative algebra  $(\mathcal{A}, \star)$  representing space-time (it replaces  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ )
- a right A-module,  $\mathbb{M}$ , representing matter fields (it replaces vector bundles)
- a group of unitary automorphisms of  $\mathbb M$  acting on fields from the left, representing gauge transformations.

The dynamics of fields is described by means of a natural differential calculus based on derivations of the NC algebra;

The gauge connection is the standard noncommutative analogue of the Koszul connection.

Therefore, the first problem to address is to have a well defined differential calculus, namely, an algebra of  $\star$ -derivations of A such that

$$D_a(f\star g)=D_af\star g+f\star D_ag$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

#### Differential calculus

Given the star product of fields in the form

$$f \star g = f \cdot g + \frac{i}{2} \Theta^{ab}(x) \partial_a f \partial_b g + \dots$$

ordinary derivations violate the Leibniz rule,

$$\partial_c(f\star g) = (\partial_c f)\star g + f\star (\partial_c g) + \frac{i}{2}\partial_c \Theta^{ab}(x)\partial_a f\partial_b g + \dots$$

unless  $\Theta$  is constant  $\Longrightarrow$  star derivations are realised by star commutators

$$D_{a}f = (\Theta^{-1})_{ab}[x^{b}, f]_{\star} \stackrel{\Theta \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \partial_{a}f$$

Lie algebra type star products,  $[x^j, x^k]_{\star} = c_l^{jk} x^l$  do admit a generalisation according to

$$D_j f = k[x^j, f]_{\star}$$

with k a suitable dimensionful constant, but the limit,  $\Theta \rightarrow 0$ , does not yield the standard commutative result.

Alternatively, one can use twisted differential calculus for those NC algebras whose star product is defined in terms of a twist.

Summarising: ordinary derivations in general violate the Leibniz rule, whereas twisted or star derivations might not reproduce the correct commutative limit.

The problem is not new

#### Derivations based differential calculus

[Dubois-Violette, Michor, Madore, Masson, Wallet...] It generalises the algebraic description of standard differential calculus to the NC case. In the commutative case vector fields are identified with derivations of  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ , one-forms and the exterior derivative *d* are defined by duality

$$df(X) = X(f); \alpha = g \cdot df; d\alpha(X, Y) = X(\alpha(Y)) - Y(\alpha(X)) - \alpha([X, Y])$$
  

$$d^{2}f(X, Y) = X(df(Y)) - Y(df(X)) - df([X, Y]) =$$
  

$$X(Y(f)) - Y(X(f)) - [X, Y](f) = 0$$
  
Higher forms are constructed analogously.

Thus, to define a differential calculus on a noncommutative algebra,  $\mathcal{A}$  we need a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  and a representation of  $\mathcal{L}$  in terms of derivations of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Derivations, have to be independent and sufficient ( A set of derivations is said to be sufficient when the only elements which are annihilated by all of them are in the centre of the algebra). That is, we need  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\rho$  such that

$$ho(X)(f\star g) = (
ho(X)f)\star g + f\star (
ho(X)g), \quad X\in \mathcal{L}, \ f,g\in \mathcal{A}$$

Assuming such structures are given, the first step for the construction of a differential calculus is the identification of zero forms with the algebra itself  $\Omega^0 = \mathcal{A}$ .

Then the exterior derivative is implicitly defined by  $df(X) = \rho(X)f$  It automatically verifies the Leibniz rule because  $\rho(X)$  are  $\star$ -derivations

$$d(f \star g)(X) = (\rho(X)f) \star g + f \star (\rho(X)g)$$

moreover  $d^2 = 0$  because the  $\star$ -derivat

because the \*-derivations close a Lie algebra. The second step consists in defining  $\Omega^1$  as a left  ${\cal A}$  module that is

$$gdf(X) = g \star (\rho(X)f)$$

Because of noncommutativity, the wedge product

$$df \wedge_{\star} dg(X, Y) = df(X) \star dg(Y) - df(Y) \star dg(X)$$

is not anticommutative  $df \wedge_{\star} dg \neq -dg \wedge_{\star} df$ .

In a similar way to  $\Omega^1$ ,  $\Omega^2$  is defined as a left  $\mathcal{A}$  module,  $\omega = f \star dg \wedge_{\star} dh$ Higher  $\Omega^p$  are built analogously.

Derivations have to be independent: namely no functions belonging to the center of the algebra exist s.t.  $f_{\mu}X_{\mu} = 0$  and sufficient, namely if  $\alpha(X_{\mu}) = 0 \ \forall \mu \to \alpha$  is central

Scalar field theory on the Moyal space

Moyal space:

It is the simplest noncommutative space, modelled on the phase space of quantum mechanics:

First, go to dual description in terms of algebra of functions on classical phase space

Quantize (make it "noncommutative phase space")

Phase space is not a smooth manifold anymore

Noncommutativity can be described in terms of a star product: quantum mechanics in the Moyal approach

• Do the same for space-time  $\rightarrow [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = i\theta_{ij}$ 

-  $\theta$  constant

- replace with an algebra of functions on space-time (assume it even-dim.), with noncommutative star product. For coordinate functions

$$x_i \star x_j - x_j \star x_i = i\theta_{ij}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

The Moyal algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n}$  $(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \star_{\theta}) =: \mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n}$  is the Moyal algebra

- Technically the star product is defined for Schwartz functions  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ 

$$f \star g(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int f(x + \frac{1}{2}\Theta u)g(x + v)e^{iu \cdot v}$$

 $\Theta$  is block diagonal, antisymmetric with  $\theta$  real.

$$\Theta= heta\left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & -1 & \ 1 & 0 & \ & & \ddots \end{array}
ight)$$

- Extended  $\implies \mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n}$  is unital and involutive under complex conjugation. It contains S, polynomials, constants [Varilly, Gracia-Bondia IJMP '89, Soloviev arxiv-1012.0669]

$$f \star_{\theta} g(x) = \exp(\frac{i}{2}\Theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\mu}})f(u)g(v)|_{u=v=x}$$

$$[x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}]_{\star_{\theta}} = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$$

which describes space-time noncommutativity and implies the presence of a minimal area  $\simeq \theta$ 

#### The differential calculus over the Moyal algebra

Minimal derivation based differential calculus [DuboisViolette-Masson-Wallet,

Marmo-V.-Zampini]

As a minimal Lie algebra we can choose translations  $\{P_{\mu}\}$  (but we could choose a bigger algebra: the largest algebra of derivations being  $\mathfrak{isp}(4,\mathbb{R})$ )

 $\rho(P_{\mu}) := \partial_{\mu} = -i\theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}[x^{\nu}, \cdot]_{\star}$ 

generate the minimal Lie algebra of derivations of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$ These are

- inner

- not a left module over  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$ , but only over the center of the algebra because  $f \star \partial_{\mu}(g \star h) \neq f \star \partial_{\mu}g \star h + g \star f \star \partial_{\mu}h$ -  $d, i_{P_{\mu}}$  defined algebraically,  $df(P_{\mu}) = P_{\mu}(f) = -i\theta^{-1}_{\mu\nu}[x^{\nu}, f]_{\star},$   $i_{P_{\mu}}\omega(P_{\nu}) = \omega(P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}) = f \star (dg(P_{\mu}) \star dh(P_{\nu}) - dg(P_{\nu}) \star dh(P_{\mu}));$ Integration  $\int f \star g = \int g \star f = \int f \cdot g$ 

 $\implies$  the integral is a trace

A 3 5 4 5 5

### The scalar action functional

Once we have a differential calculus and an integral we can make sense of the Euclidean action functional

$$S[\varphi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} D_\mu \varphi \star D^\mu \varphi + m^2 \varphi^{\star 2} + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \varphi^{\star 4}$$

where  $D_{\mu} \rightarrow \partial_{\mu}$  are the star-derivations above.

Since the product is closed, the free action is the same as the undeformed theory, as well as the tree level propagator. But the 4-vertex is deformed. In momentum space

$$\Delta^{(0)} = \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2}, \quad V_{\star} = -i\frac{\lambda}{4!}\delta^3\left(\sum_{a=1}^4 k_a\right)\prod_{a < b} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{ij}k_{ai}k_{bj}\right)$$

Exercise: Compute the one-loop corrections to the propagator



### UV/IR mixing

[Minwalla-VanRaamsdonk-Seiberg, Chepelev-Roiban(2000)]

in D=4  $\Pi_{np}^{(1)} = \frac{C_1}{(\theta p)^2} + m^2 C_2 \log(\theta p)^2 + F(p)$  UV finite by IR divergent when inserted in higher loops. The model is non-renormalizable

Patrizia Vitale (Dipartimento di Fisica Univer Noncommutative Field and Gauge Theory COST CA18108 2nd Training Schoo

イロン イ団 と イヨン - イロン

3

### Linear noncommutativity: the case $\mathbb{R}^3_{\lambda}$

In order to appreciate the importance of differential calculus consider the case  $\Theta = \Theta(x)$ . The simplest case is  $\Theta^{ij}(x) = c_k^{ij} x^k$ , with  $c_k^{ij}$  structure constants.

An example is the noncommutative space  $\mathbb{R}^3_{\lambda}$  first introduced in [Hammou, Lagraa, Sheikh-Jabbari' 01] as quadratic subalgebra of  $(\mathbb{R}^4_{\theta}, \star_V)$ .

$$\varphi \star \psi \left( z_{a}, \bar{z}_{a} \right) = \varphi(z, \bar{z}) \exp(\theta \overleftarrow{\partial}_{z_{a}} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\bar{z}_{a}}) \psi(z, \bar{z}), \quad a = 1, 2$$

by means of  $x_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{z}^a \sigma_{\mu}^{ab} z^b$ ,  $\mu = 0, ..., 3$ . The subalgebra generated by  $x_{\mu}$  is closed wrt the star product implying

$$[x_i, x_j]_{\star} = i\lambda \epsilon_{ij}^k x_k$$
 check!

and

$$\sum_{i} x_i^2 = x_0^2$$

and  $x_0$  star-commutes with  $x_i$ . Thus we can alternatively define  $\mathbb{R}^3_{\lambda}$  as the star-commutant of  $x_0$ .

The algebra  $\mathbb{R}^3_{\lambda}$ 

The induced  $\star$ -product for  $\mathbb{R}^3_{\lambda}$  reads

$$\varphi \star \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\delta_{ij} \mathbf{x}_0 + i\epsilon_{ij}^k \mathbf{x}_k\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j}\right] \varphi(u) \psi(v)|_{u=v=x}$$

 $\implies$  for coordinate functions

$$x_{i} \star x_{j} = x_{i}x_{j} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( x_{0}\delta_{ij} + i\epsilon_{ij}^{k}x_{k} \right)$$
$$x_{0} \star x_{i} = x_{i} \star x_{0} = x_{0}x_{i} + \frac{\lambda}{2}x_{i}$$
$$x_{0} \star x_{0} = x_{0}\left(x_{0} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) = \sum_{i} x_{i} \star x_{i} - \lambda x_{0}$$

One can introduce a matrix basis [V., Wallet '13]:

$$\begin{array}{l} v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j}(x) = \frac{e^{-2\frac{x_{0}}{\lambda}}}{\lambda^{2j}} \frac{(x_{0} + x_{3})^{j+m}(x_{0} - x_{3})^{j-\tilde{m}} (x_{1} - ix_{2})^{\tilde{m}-m}}{\sqrt{(j+m)!(j-m)!(j+\tilde{m})!(j-\tilde{m})!}} & j \in \frac{\mathbb{N}}{2}, \ m, \tilde{m} \in (-j,j) \\ \Longrightarrow & \\ v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j} \star v_{n\tilde{n}}^{\tilde{j}}(x) = \delta^{j\tilde{j}} \delta_{m\tilde{m}} \end{array}$$

Then, the star product in  $\mathbb{R}^3_{\lambda}$  becomes a block-diagonal infinite-matrix product and the integral becomes a trace.

In the matrix basis

$$\begin{aligned} x_{+} &= \lambda \sum_{j,m} \sqrt{(j+m)(j-m+1)} v_{mm-1}^{j} \\ x_{-} &= \lambda \sum_{j,m} \sqrt{(j-m)(j+m+1)} v_{mm+1}^{j} \\ x_{3} &= \lambda \sum_{j,m} m v_{mm}^{j} \\ x_{0} &= \lambda \sum_{j,m} j v_{mm}^{j} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{+} \star v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j} &= \lambda \sqrt{(j+m+1)(j-m)} v_{m+1\tilde{m}}^{j} \\ x_{-} \star v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j} &= \lambda \sqrt{(j-m+1)(j+m)} v_{m-1\tilde{m}}^{j} \\ x_{3} \star v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j} &= \lambda m v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j} \\ x_{0} \star v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j} &= \lambda j v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j} \end{aligned}$$

and analogous expressions when star multiplying from the right

Patrizia Vitale (Dipartimento di Fisica Univer Noncommutative Field and Gauge Theory COST CA18108 2nd Training Schoo

( = ) ( @ ) ( = ) ( = ) ( = )

### Derivations of the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$

In order to introduce a dynamics described by an action functional we need derivations. In the commutative case one uses the Kustaanheimo-Stiefel (KS) map:

- $\mathbb{R}^3 \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^4 \{0\}$  are given the structure of trivial bundles over spheres,  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\} \simeq S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\} \simeq S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ ;
- then use the Hopf fibration  $\pi_H: S^3 \to S^2$ , with the identification of  $S^3$  with SU(2),

$$\pi_H: s \in SU(2) \rightarrow \vec{x} \in S^2, : s\sigma_3 s^{-1} = x^i \sigma_i$$

where  $s = y_0 \sigma_0 + i y_i \sigma_i$ ,  $y_\mu$  are real coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  s.t.  $y_\mu y^\mu = 1$ ;

- extend the Hopf map to  $\mathbb{R}^4 \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^3 \{0\}$ , relaxing the radius constraint  $\Rightarrow y_\mu y^\mu = R^2$ ;
- finally introduce g = Rs and define

$$\pi_{\mathsf{KS}}: g \in \mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\} \rightarrow \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}, \quad x^k \sigma_k = g \sigma_3 g^\dagger = R^2 s \sigma_3 s^{-1};$$

which gives quadratic expressions for the  $x_{\mu}$  and  $x_0 = R^2/4$ . (Exercise)

### Derivations of the algebra $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$

Projectable vector fields are defined by the condition  $[D_i, Y_0] = 0$ , with  $Y_0 = y^0 \partial_{y^3} - y^3 \partial_{y^0} + y^1 \partial_{y^2} - y^2 \partial_{y^1}$  generator of the fibre U(1). They correspond to the three rotation generators and the dilation  $Y_i = y_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} - y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_0} - \epsilon_{ijk} y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}, \quad D = y_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu} \Longrightarrow$  $\pi_{KS*}(Y_i) = X_i = \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \quad \pi_{KS*}(D) = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ 

When passing to the noncommutative case the three rotations are still derivations of the algebra  $\mathbb{R}^3_{\lambda}$  and may be given the form of inner derivations

$$X_i(\varphi) = -\frac{i}{\lambda}[x_i, \varphi]_\star, \quad i = 1, ..., 3$$

- they satisfy the Leibniz rule
- they are independent (even though  $x_i \star X_i(\varphi) + X_i(\varphi) \star x_i = 0$ , derivations are not a module over the algebra in the NC case)
- and sufficient ("constant" functions are in the center of the algebra)

The dilation is not a derivation as it does not satisfy the Leibniz rule (check by applying it to the star product of coordinates).

The scalar field theory  $g \varphi^{\star 4}$ 

- $\checkmark$  star product
- $\checkmark$  derivations
- $\checkmark$  integration

Well defined scalar action:

$$S[\varphi] = S[\varphi] = \int \varphi \star (\Delta + \mu^2) \varphi + \frac{g}{4!} \varphi \star \varphi \star \varphi \star \varphi$$

with the Laplacian:  $\Delta \varphi = \alpha \sum_i D_i^2 \varphi + \beta x_0 \star x_0 \star \varphi$ The second term is introduced to reproduce radial dynamics,  $x_0 \star \varphi = x_0 \varphi + \frac{\lambda}{2} x_i \partial_i \varphi$ . Other proposals exist. There remain two main problems:

- the commutative limit;
- the radial dynamics (clear in the matrix basis: *j* does not change)

The model has been studied at one-loop in the matrix basis [Vitale, Wallet '13] But no UV/IR mixing

## Noncommutative gauge theory on $R_{\theta}^{2n}$

To make sense of noncommutative gauge and matter fields we need

- ✓ a noncommutative algebra ( $A, \star$ ) representing space-time (it replaces  $\mathcal{F}(M)$ )
- $\checkmark\,$  A differential calculus based on derivations of the NC algebra which allows to introduce the dynamics;
  - a NC analogue of matter fields, compatible with  $\star$  multiplication by functions, which replaces the notion of vector bundles
  - a group of unitary automorphisms acting on fields from the left, representing gauge transformations;
  - a NC analogue of gauge connection

For QED the gauge group is  $\widehat{U(1)}$ , implying that charged matter fields are 1-dim complex vector fields (sections of 1-d complex vector bundle), namely a right module over  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ 

- $\implies$  The NC generalization is
- a 1-dim complex right module (one generator) over  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{ heta}$

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$$

with Hermitian structure  $h: h(\boldsymbol{\psi}_1, \boldsymbol{\psi}_2) = \psi_1^{\dagger} \star \psi_2$ 

Patrizia Vitale (Dipartimento di Fisica Univer Noncommutative Field and Gauge Theory COST CA18108 2nd Training Schoo

A D N A D N A D N A D N

### General setting

For non-Abelian gauge theories (gauge group SU(N)) charged matter fields are typically complex vector fields in the fundamental representation of the group (-> sections of N-dim complex vector bundles)

### $\Longrightarrow$ The NC generalization is

- a N-dim complex right module (N generators) over  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$ 

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{N} \otimes \mathbb{R}_{\theta}^{2n}$$

- Gauge transformations are defined as automorphisms of  $\mathcal{H}$  compatible both with the structure of right  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$ -module

 $g(\psi f) = g(\psi)f$ 

and with the Hermitian structure  $h:\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}\to\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{ heta}$ 

 $h(g oldsymbol{\psi}_1), g(oldsymbol{\psi}_2)) = h(oldsymbol{\psi}_1, oldsymbol{\psi}_2) \ \ orall oldsymbol{\psi}_1, oldsymbol{\psi}_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ 

- A connection (discuss classical definition on the bb) is a linear map
- $abla : \mathsf{Der}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{ heta}) imes \mathcal{H} o \mathcal{H} ext{ satisfying }$ 
  - $\nabla_X(\psi f) = \psi X(f) + \nabla_X(\psi) f, \nabla_{cX}(\psi) = c \nabla_X(\psi) \quad c \text{ in the center}$  $\nabla_{X+Y}(\psi) = \nabla_X(\psi) + \nabla_Y(\psi)$
  - $\nabla_{X+Y}(\psi) = \nabla_X(\psi) + \nabla$ • Hermiticity:

$$X(h(\psi_1,\psi_2))=h(
abla_X(\psi_1),\psi_2)+h(\psi_1,
abla_X(\psi_2)),orall\psi_1,\psi_2\in\mathcal{H}$$

- Curvature is the linear map  $\mathbf{F}(X, Y) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  defined by

$$\mathsf{F}(X,Y)\psi = \mathsf{i}\left([\nabla_X,\nabla_Y]\psi - \nabla_{[X,Y]}\right)\psi$$

Patrizia Vitale (Dipartimento di Fisica Univer Noncommutative Field and Gauge Theory COST CA18108 2nd Training Schoo

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

### Noncommutative QED on $R_{\theta}^{2n}$

In this case  ${\cal H}$  has only one generator,  ${\bf e} \longrightarrow {\pmb \psi} = {\bf e} \psi, \psi \in {\cal R}^{2n}_{\theta}$ 

• The connection is completely determined by its action on the module generator:  $\nabla_X(\psi) = \nabla_X(\mathbf{e})\psi + \mathbf{e}X(\psi)$ , with  $\nabla_X(\mathbf{e})^{\dagger} = -\nabla_X(\mathbf{e})$ .  $\implies$  The 1-form connection **A**:

► 
$$\mathbf{A}: X \to \mathbf{A}(X) := i \nabla_X(\mathbf{e}), \quad \forall X \in \mathsf{Der}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_\theta)$$

$$\triangleright \nabla_{\mu}(\mathbf{e}) =: -i\mathbf{A}(\partial_{\mu}) = -i\mathbf{e}A_{\mu}$$

• so that  

$$abla_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\psi} := 
abla_{\mu} (\mathbf{e} \psi) = \mathbf{e} (\partial_{\mu} \psi - i A_{\mu} \star \psi)$$

• Gauge transformations can be identified with the unitaries  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta})$ 

Indeed

$$g(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = g(\mathbf{e}\psi) = g(\mathbf{e}) \star \psi = \mathbf{e} f_g \star \psi$$
  
$$h(g(\boldsymbol{\psi}_1), g(\boldsymbol{\psi}_2)) = h(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e})\overline{(f_g \star \psi_1)} \star f_g \star \psi_2 = h(\boldsymbol{\psi}_1, \boldsymbol{\psi}_2) \longrightarrow$$
  
$$f_g \star f_g = 1$$
  
$$\implies f_g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta})$$

Patrizia Vitale (Dipartimento di Fisica Univer Noncommutative Field and Gauge Theory COST CA18108 2nd Training Schoo

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Properties of the gauge connection

► gauge covariance:  $(\nabla^{A}_{\mu})^{g}(\psi) := g(\nabla^{A}_{\mu}(g^{-1}\psi)) = \nabla^{A^{g}}_{\mu}(\psi)$ with  $A^{g}_{\mu} = f_{g} \star A_{\mu} \star f_{g^{-1}} + if_{g} \star \partial_{\mu}f_{g^{-1}}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} &= \left( [\nabla^{A}_{\mu}, \nabla^{A}_{\nu}] - \nabla^{A}_{[\partial_{\mu}, \partial_{\nu}]} \right) = \mathbf{e} (\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} - i [A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]_{\star}) \\ \mathbf{F}^{g}_{\mu\nu} &= \left( [\nabla^{A}_{\mu}, \nabla^{A}_{\nu}] - \nabla^{A}_{[\partial_{\mu}, \partial_{\nu}]} \right) \stackrel{\text{check}}{=} \mathbf{e} (f_{g} \star F_{\mu\nu} \star f_{g^{-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

Implying

$$F^{g}_{\mu\nu} \star F^{g}{}_{\mu\nu} = f_{g} \star F_{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu} \star f_{g^{-1}}$$

Patrizia Vitale (Dipartimento di Fisica Univer Noncommutative Field and Gauge Theory | COST CA18108 2nd Training Schoo

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### The QED action on $R_{\theta}^{2n}$

A natural candidate is

$$S = \int d^{2n}x \ F_{\mu
u} \star F^{\mu
u}$$

### Symmetries

- because of cyclicity of the product it is gauge invariant
- ▶ it is invariant under standard observer Poincaré transformations
- but yields new pathologies w.r.t. the commutative case: UV/IR mixing, Gribov ambiguity

### Space-time symmetries

Moyal product has been shown to be covariant under observer (passive) transformations belonging to the Weyl group (*undeformed* Poincaré + dilations; -more generally under linear affine transformations-) [GraciaBondia- R.Ruiz-Lizzi-Vitale '06]

$$[\Omega \cdot f] \star_{\Omega \cdot \Theta} [\Omega \cdot g] = \Omega \cdot (f \star_{\Theta} g), \quad \Omega = (L, a)$$

$$[\Omega \cdot f](x) = f(L^{-1}(x-a)), \quad \Omega \cdot \Theta = L \Theta L^t$$

Infinitesimal generators:

- They are the standard ones  ${\it G}=\epsilon^lpha_eta x^eta\partial_lpha+a^eta\partial_eta$
- not derivations of the star product (precisely because the Lie derivative of  $\Theta$  has to be taken into account)
- However: since the product depends on  $\Theta$  even if starting functions don't, it is convenient to consider a  $(x, \Theta)$ -space on which

$$\Omega \cdot (x, \Theta) = (Lx + a, L\Theta L^t) \Longrightarrow$$

the infinitesimal generators in  $(x, \Theta)$ -space are

$$\begin{split} P^{\Theta}_{\mu} &= -\partial_{\mu}, \quad D^{\Theta} = -x \cdot \partial - \theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\mu\nu}} \\ M^{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} &= x_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - x_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} + \theta^{\rho}_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\rho\nu}} - \theta^{\rho}_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\rho\mu}} \end{split}$$

Exercise: They close the standard Weyl algebra and are derivations of the star product

$$G^{ heta}(f\star g)=G^{ heta}f\star g+f\star G^{ heta}g$$

#### Weyl invariance of the QED action

 $A_{\alpha}$  does not depend on  $\Theta$  ->

$$P^{\Theta}_{\alpha}A_{\mu} = -\partial_{\alpha}A_{\mu}$$

$$M^{\Theta}_{\alpha\beta}A_{\mu} = (x_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta} - x_{\beta}\partial_{\alpha})A_{\mu} + g_{\alpha\mu}A_{\beta} - g_{\alpha\nu}A_{\alpha}$$

$$D^{\Theta}A_{\mu} = -(1 + x \cdot \partial)A_{\mu}$$
For the field strength  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - i[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]_{*\Theta}$  use the fact that  $G^{\Theta}$  are

For the field strength  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - i[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]_{\star\Theta}$  use the fact that  $G^{\Theta}$  are  $\star$  derivations ->

$$P^{\Theta}_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\alpha}F_{\mu\nu}$$

$$M^{\Theta}_{\alpha\beta}F_{\mu\nu} = (x_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta} - x_{\beta}\partial_{\alpha})F_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\alpha}F_{\beta\nu} - g_{\mu\beta}F_{\alpha\nu} + g_{\nu\alpha}F_{\beta\mu} - g_{\nu\beta}F_{\alpha\mu}$$

$$D^{\Theta}F_{\mu\nu} = -(2 + x \cdot \partial)F_{\mu\nu}$$

namely the same as for commutative case  $\Longrightarrow$  the action is invariant

**Remark.** There is a difference wrt commutative 4-d QED: Special conformal invariance is lost because quadratic (or higher) in  $x \Longrightarrow$ 

$$[x_{\mu}x_{\nu}\partial_{\rho}]_{\Theta}(f\star g) \stackrel{\text{check}}{\neq} [x_{\mu}x_{\nu}\partial_{\rho}]_{\Theta}f\star g + f\star [x_{\mu}x_{\nu}\partial_{\rho}]_{\Theta}g$$
with
$$[x_{\mu}x_{\nu}\partial_{\rho}]_{\Theta} = x_{\mu}x_{\nu}\partial_{\rho} + (\theta^{\alpha}_{\mu}x_{\nu} + \theta^{\alpha}_{\nu}x_{\mu})\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\alpha\rho}}$$
trizia Vitale (Dipartimente di Einica University) Noncomputative Field and Gauge Theory COST CA18108 2nd Training School

### Comparison with the twist approach

Moyal product is not covariant under Poincaré particle (active) transformations, where the background field  $\Theta$  does not change.

But it is covariant under  $\theta$ -Poincaré particle transformations: the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$ , with twisted coproduct (Hopf algebra  $U_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{p})$ ).

A Hopf algebra  $H(\mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon, S)$  (examples:  $U(\mathfrak{g}), C^{\infty}(G)$ ), is a structure composed by

- a unital associative algebra  $(H, \mu, \eta)$
- a counital coassociative coalgebra  $(H, \Delta, \epsilon)$

i.e. a vector space H over  ${\mathbb C}$  with the following

- $\mu: H \otimes H \rightarrow H$  the multiplication map
- $\eta:\mathbb{C} o H$  the unit map
- $\Delta: H 
  ightarrow H \otimes H$  the coproduct
- $\epsilon: H 
  ightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the counit map
- $S: H \rightarrow H$  the antipode (generalises the inverse of an element)

with a series of compatibility conditions

A D N A D N A D N A D N

Relevant examples for us

$$- U(\mathfrak{g}) : \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, \ \epsilon(x) = 0, \ S(x) = -x$$

$$- C^{\infty}(G) : \Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \epsilon(g) = 1, \quad S(g) = g^{-1}$$

The *twist operator* is an invertible element  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $H \otimes H$  that satisfies the conditions

$$(1\otimes \mathcal{F})(\mathrm{id}\otimes \Delta)\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}\otimes 1)(\Delta\otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F} \qquad (\epsilon\otimes \mathrm{id})\mathcal{F} = (\mathrm{id}\otimes \epsilon)\mathcal{F} = 1\otimes 1$$

 $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(h) = \mathcal{F}\Delta(h)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ , with *h* in *H*, defines a new coproduct in *H* The algebra underlying *H* endowed with  $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the Hopf algebra  $H_{\mathcal{F}}$  (twisted Hopf algebra) If *H* has a representation in an associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (here  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ ) with product *m*:

$$m(a \otimes b) = ab$$
  
 $h \cdot (ab) = h \cdot m (a \otimes b) = m(\Delta(h) \cdot (a \otimes b)), \quad h \in H$ 

the twisting of  $\Delta$  introduces in  $\mathcal{A}$  a twisted product  $m_{\mathcal{F}}$  defined by

$$m_{\mathcal{F}}(a \otimes b) = m \big( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \cdot (a \otimes b) \big)$$

which is associative.

 $H_{\mathcal{F}}$  is represented in  $(\mathcal{A}, m_{\mathcal{F}})$  by its action through  $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(h)$ ,

$$\begin{split} h \cdot m_{\mathcal{F}}(a \otimes b) &= h \cdot m \big( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \cdot (a \otimes b) \big) = m \big( \Delta(h) \mathcal{F}^{-1} \cdot (a \otimes b) \big) \\ &= m \big( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(h) \cdot (a \otimes b) \big) = m_{\mathcal{F}} \big( \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(h) \cdot (a \otimes b) \big) \quad ** \end{split}$$

 $\implies$  A \*-product defined in terms of a twist is *always* twist-covariant, by definition  $\implies$  An action functional invariant under some space-time transformations always yields a twisted action invariant wrt the corresponding twisted transformations; these should be understood as particle (active) transformations

### Back to QED on $\mathbb{R}^4_\Theta$

Consider the Lie algebra of diffeomorphisms,  $\mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ , whose generators are vector fields with polynomial coefficients on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ 

- As Hopf algebra H take the enveloping algebra U(D):
  Δ is first defined for h ∈ D by Δ(h) = 1 ⊗ h + h ⊗ 1, and then multiplicatively extended to all of U(D) by Δ(hh') = Δ(h)Δ(h');
- For the algebra A carrying a representation of U(𝔅), take the algebra of functions on spacetime with the ordinary multiplication m(f ⊗ g) = fg;
- for  $\mathcal{F}$ , take  $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta} = \exp(-\frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \otimes \partial_{\nu})$ . This is clearly in  $U(\mathfrak{D}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{D})$ , has an inverse

$$\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^{-1} = \exp(\frac{i}{2} \,^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \otimes \partial_{\nu})$$

and satisfies the cocycle condition

The Moyal product is then recovered as the twisted product

$$m_{\Theta}(f \otimes g) = m(\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^{-1} \cdot (f \otimes g)) = f \star_{\Theta} g$$

The action of a generator h on the Moyal product is determined by  $\Delta_{\Theta}(h) = \mathcal{F}_{\Theta}\Delta(h)\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}^{-1}$  and conversely.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

For the generators of translations, Lorentz transformations and dilations the following expressions were obtained [Kulish, Matlock]

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\Theta}(P_{\mu}) &= P_{\mu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_{\mu} \\ \Delta_{\Theta}(M_{\mu\nu}) &= M_{\mu\nu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ \frac{i}{2} \, \theta^{\alpha\beta} \left[ \left( g_{\mu\alpha} P_{\nu} - g_{\nu\alpha} P_{\mu} \right) \otimes P_{\beta} + P_{\alpha} \otimes \left( g_{\mu\beta} P_{\nu} - g_{\nu\beta} P_{\mu} \right) \right] \\ \Delta_{\Theta}(D) &= D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D - i \, \theta^{\mu\nu} P_{\mu} \otimes P_{\nu} \end{split}$$

From these formulas it was concluded that Poincaré invariance can be maintained in noncommutative field theory although twisted.

But this is not specific of Poincaré invariance

Note that Eq. \*\* places *no restriction* on the generator *h* except that of being an infinitesimal diffeomorphism

This is why the generators  $K_{\mu}$  of special conformal transformation could be added to the list of computed  $\Delta_{\Theta}(h)$  [Matlock, Lizzi Vaydia V.].

- Because we are in the enveloping algebra, \*\* applies to differential operators of any order
- the method is thus a recipe to encode the action of arbitrary differential operators with polynomial coefficients on Moyal products

イロト イポト イラト イラト

#### Exercise

Show that

$$\partial_{\alpha}(f \star_{\Theta} g) = \partial_{\alpha}f \star_{\Theta}g + f \star_{\Theta}\partial_{\alpha}g x^{\alpha}(f \star_{\Theta} g) = x^{\alpha}f \star_{\Theta}g - \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\alpha\beta}f \star_{\Theta}\partial_{\beta}g = f \star_{\Theta}x^{\alpha}g + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\beta}f \star_{\Theta}g$$

and use it to check the twisted coproduct of infinitesimal spacetime transformations generated by  $x^{\mu_1}...x^{\mu_N}\partial_{\nu}$ 

$$\begin{split} &\Delta_{\Theta}(x^{\mu_{1}}...x^{\mu_{N}}\partial_{\nu}) = x^{\mu_{1}}...x^{\mu_{N}}\partial_{\nu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x^{\mu_{1}}...x^{\mu_{N}}\partial_{\nu} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^{k} \sum_{N \geq c_{k} > ... > c_{1} \geq 1} \theta^{\mu_{c_{1}}\alpha_{c_{1}}} ... \theta^{\mu_{c_{k}}\alpha_{c_{k}}} \left[\partial_{\alpha_{c_{1}}}...\partial_{\alpha_{c_{k}}} \otimes x^{\mu_{1}}... \stackrel{c_{1}}{\otimes}...x^{\mu_{N}} \partial_{\nu} \right. \\ &+ (-1)^{k} x^{\mu_{1}}... \stackrel{c_{1}}{\otimes}... \stackrel{c_{k}}{\otimes}...x^{\mu_{N}} \partial_{\nu} \otimes \partial_{\alpha_{c_{1}}}...\partial_{\alpha_{c_{k}}}\right] \end{split}$$

[ $c_1$  indicates that the factor  $x^{\mu_{c_1}}$  is removed] Moreover,

$$m_{\Theta} \big( \Delta_{\Theta} (x^{\mu_{1}} \cdots x^{\mu_{N}} \partial_{\nu}) \cdot (x^{\alpha} \otimes x^{\beta} - x^{\beta} \otimes x^{\alpha}) \big) \stackrel{check}{=} 0$$

namely,  $\theta^{\alpha\beta}$  remains unchanged. The twisted coproduct formulation accounts only for particle transformations

#### Twist vs covariance

To summarize: for G in the affine group (generators at most linear in coordinates) the relation between the covariant and twist approaches can be accounted by the following equation

$$m_{\Theta}(\Delta_{\Theta}(G) \cdot (f \otimes g)) = G^{\Theta}m_{\Theta}(f \otimes g) - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{G}\theta^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\alpha\beta}}m_{\Theta}(f \otimes g),$$

where  $\delta_G \theta^{\alpha\beta}$  is the Lie derivative of the tensor  $\Theta = \theta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha} \otimes \partial_{\beta}$  with respect to G For instance for dilatations one has

$$m_{\Theta}(\Delta_{\Theta}(D) \cdot (f \otimes g)) = D^{\Theta}(f \star_{\Theta} g) + \theta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\alpha\beta}} (f \star_{\Theta} g).$$

Furthermore, observer and twist covariances boil down to

observer: 
$$G^{\Theta}m_{\Theta} = m_{\Theta}\Delta(G)$$
 twist:  $G m_{\Theta} = m_{\Theta}\Delta_{\Theta}(G)$ .

### Problems of NCQED on $\mathbb{R}^4_\Theta$

(this formulation)

- UV/IR mixing: qualitatively the same features as scalar field theory similar solutions have been proposed
  - Add a "harmonic oscillator" term [de Goursac-Wallet-Wulkenhaar, Grosse-Wohlgenannt '07] generalizing the scalar receipt:

$$\begin{split} S_{\Omega}[\varphi] &= S[\varphi] + \int \Omega^{2}(\tilde{x}_{\mu}\varphi) \dagger \star (\tilde{x}_{\mu}\varphi) \quad [\tilde{x}_{\mu} = 2\theta_{\mu\nu}^{-1}x_{\nu}] \\ S_{\Omega} &= S + \int \frac{\Omega^{2}}{4} \{\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\}_{\star}^{2} + \kappa \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \star \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \end{split}$$

with  $A_{\mu} = A_{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{x}_{\mu}$  (in these new fields the model becomes a matrix model) - Investigate NCQED with other star products

 Gribov copies - usually a feature of non-Abelian gauge theories, which amounts in an overcounting of gauge representatives for each gauge orbit in the path integral approach

because of noncommutativity a similar behaviour manifests in NCQED

イロト イポト イラト イラト

## NCQED on $\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$

• The star product of  $R_{\lambda}^3$ 

$$\varphi \star \psi(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\left[\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\delta_{ij} \mathbf{x}_0 + i\epsilon_{ij}^k \mathbf{x}_k\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{v}_j}\right] \varphi(\mathbf{u}) \psi(\mathbf{v})|_{\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{x}}$$

- The matrix basis
  - An orthogonal matrix basis with  $j \in \frac{\mathbb{N}}{2}, -j \leq m, \tilde{m} \leq j$

$$v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j}(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{2\omega_{0}}{\lambda}}}{\lambda^{2j}} \frac{(x_{0} + x_{3})^{j+m}(x_{0} - x_{3})^{j-\tilde{m}} (x_{1} - ix_{2})^{\tilde{m}-m}}{\sqrt{(j+m)!(j-m)!(j+\tilde{m})!(j-\tilde{m})!}}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} - v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j} \star v_{n\tilde{n}}^{\tilde{\jmath}} = \delta^{j\tilde{\jmath}} \delta_{\tilde{m}n} v_{m\tilde{n}}^{j} \\ - \int v_{m\tilde{m}}^{j} = C \delta_{m,\tilde{m}} \quad \text{with } \int \longrightarrow \text{ Tr} \end{array}$$

The derivation based differential calculus and the gauge connection

- derivations are inner  $D_i := rac{i}{\lambda^2} [x^i, \cdot]_\star, \quad i=1,\ldots,3$
- A gauge connection is defined as previously on  $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{R}^3_\lambda$

$$\nabla_{D_i} \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \nabla_{D_i}(\mathbf{e}) \star \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \mathbf{e} D_i \boldsymbol{\varphi} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}_i = i \nabla_{D_i}(\mathbf{e})$$

- define a covariant one form  $A_i = A_i + \eta_i$ ,  $\eta_i = \frac{i}{\lambda^2} \delta_{ij} x^j$
- the curvature is  $F_{ij} = (D_i A_j D_j A_i) + [A_i, A_j] + \lambda \epsilon_{ijk} A_k = [A_i, A_j] + \lambda \epsilon_{ijk} A_k$

#### The Yang-Mills action

May be given in terms of a polynomial action in the one-form  ${\cal A}$  which is at most quartic

$$S(\mathcal{A}) = \int \left( \alpha \mathcal{A}_i \star \mathcal{A}_j \star \mathcal{A}_j \star \mathcal{A}_i + \beta \mathcal{A}_i \star \mathcal{A}_j \star \mathcal{A}_i \star \mathcal{A}_j + \gamma \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathcal{A}_i \star \mathcal{A}_j \star \mathcal{A}_k + \delta \mathcal{A}_i \star \mathcal{A}_i \right)$$

With a suitable choice of the parameters (dictated by reasonable physical requests) the action is rewritten as the sum

$$S(\mathcal{A}) = \int \left( a F_{ij} \star F_{ij} + b \varepsilon_{ijk} \mathcal{A}_i \star \mathcal{A}_j \star \mathcal{A}_k + c \mathcal{A}_i \star \mathcal{A}_i \right)$$

which is in turn of the form of a Yang Mills + a Chern-Simons term (as a functional of A).

This has been studied up to one loop [Géré-Vitale-Wallet '13]

Other Lie-algebra type star products have been considered in the context of QFT and gauge theory, and still being considered, s. as k-Minkowski

### Gribov copies in NCQED on $\mathbb{R}^4_{\theta}$

Under the U(1) gauge transformation in NCQED the gauge field A transforms as

$$A \to A'_{\mu}[\alpha] = U \star A_{\mu} \star U^{\dagger} + i U \star \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger}, \qquad U \equiv \exp_{\star}(i\alpha)$$

with

$$\exp_{\star}(\alpha) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \underbrace{\alpha \star \dots \star \alpha}_{n \text{ times}},$$

Infinitesimally

$$A \to A'_{\mu}[\alpha] = A_{\mu} + \frac{D_{\mu}\alpha}{\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$$

where

$$D_{\mu}\alpha = \partial_{\mu}\alpha + i\left(\alpha \star A_{\mu} - A_{\mu} \star \alpha\right)$$

formally similar to the infinitesimal transformation of non-Abelian gauge potential  $A_{\mu} = A^{a}_{\mu}\tau_{a}$ ,  $D_{\mu}\alpha^{a} = \partial_{\mu}\alpha^{a} + i\alpha^{b}A^{c}_{\mu}c^{a}_{bc}$ The gauge condition,  $\partial^{\mu}A_{\mu} = 0$  does not single out a single copy in the gauge orbit:

For 
$$A'_{\mu} = A_{\mu} + D_{\mu}\alpha$$
 the gauge condition  $\partial^{\mu}A'_{\mu}[\alpha] = 0$  implies

$$\partial^{\mu}D_{\mu}lpha = 0$$

eq. of copies, which may now have (infinite) non trivial solutions, compared with the commutative case [Canfora-Kurkov-Rosa-V. '15, Blaschke '16; Guimaraes-Hollanda-Rosa-V. '21] ~ Patrizia Vitale (Dipartimento di Fisica Univer Noncommutative Field and Gauge Theory ) COST CA18108 2nd Training Schoo

Twist approach: Angular noncommutativity ( $\lambda$ -Minkowski)

Space-time noncommutativity is given by

$$[\hat{x}^3, \hat{x}^1] = -i\lambda \hat{x}^2, \quad [\hat{x}^3, \hat{x}^2] = i\lambda x^1, \quad [\hat{x}^1, \hat{x}^2] = [\hat{x}^0, \hat{x}^i] = 0$$

Properties

- ► there exists a star product reproducing coordinates non-commutativity, deriving from a twist operator *F* ∈ p ⊗ p
- although the commutation relations violate Poincaré symmetry (active and passive), the symmetry can be twisted —> a twisted λ-Poincaré Hopf algebra can be defined
- $\mathcal{F}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \exp\left\{-\frac{i\lambda}{2}\left(\partial_{x^{3}}\otimes\left(x^{2}\partial_{x^{1}}-x^{1}\partial_{x^{2}}\right)-\partial_{x^{3}}\otimes\left(x^{2}\partial_{x^{1}}-x^{1}\partial_{x^{2}}\right)\right)\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left\{\frac{i\theta}{2}\left(\partial_{x^{3}}\otimes\partial_{\varphi}-\partial_{x^{3}}\otimes\partial_{\varphi}\right)\right\}$$

with  $x^1 = \rho \cos \varphi$ ,  $x^2 = \rho \sin \varphi$ 

### The star product

Since the vector fields  $\partial_{\varphi}$  and  $\partial_3$  commute, the twist is admissible, because it satisfies the cocycle condition  $\rightarrow$  the associated  $\star$  product is associative;

$$(f \star g)(x) = m \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f \otimes g)(x) = fg - \frac{i\lambda}{2}(\partial_{\varphi}f\partial_{3}g - \partial_{3}f\partial_{\varphi}g) + O(\lambda^{2}).$$

Notice that the role of  $x_3$  and  $x_0$  can be exchanged. Algebraically not a problem, but physically it makes a big difference, if  $x_0$  is time

The Abelian twist *F* is a special example of a more general twist introduced in [Lukierski& coll. '94]

 The NC differential geometry induced by *F* was constructed in [Konjik-Dimitriejivic-Samsarov '17]

In cylindrical coordinates

$$[x^3,\rho]_{\star} = 0, \quad [x^3,e^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}]_{\star} = -\lambda e^{\mathrm{i}\varphi}, \quad [x^3,f(x^0,x^3,\rho,\varphi)]_{\star} = \mathrm{i}\lambda\partial_{\varphi}f$$

イロト イポト イラト イラト

For field theory it is useful to calculate the \*-product of two plane waves. We have check!

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}p\cdot x} \star e^{-\mathrm{i}q\cdot x} = e^{-\mathrm{i}(p+\star q)\cdot x},$$

where the  $\star$ -sum of the 4-momenta is defined as follows:

$$p+_{\star}q=R(q_3)p+R(-p_3)q,$$

and R is the following matrix:

$$R(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\left(\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin\left(\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right) & \cos\left(\frac{\lambda t}{2}\right) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

it corresponds to a rotation matrix in the  $(p_1p_2)$  plane; the angle of rotation is proportional to the noncommutativity parameter, and to the momenta involved; it reduces to the identity in the commutative limit  $\lambda \longrightarrow 0$  as well as in the low momentum limit.

▶ it can be checked that the \*-sum is noncommutative, but associative and satisfies p +\* (-p) = 0 for an arbitrary 4-vector p;

generalizing to the product of three plane waves,

$$e^{-\mathrm{i}p\cdot x} \star e^{-\mathrm{i}q\cdot x} \star e^{-\mathrm{i}r\cdot x} = e^{-\mathrm{i}(p+_{\star}q+_{\star}r)\cdot x},$$

with

$$p +_{\star} q +_{\star} r = R(r_3 + q_3)p + R(-p_3 + r_3)q + R(-p_3 - q_3)r$$

by induction:

$$p^{(1)} +_{\star} \dots +_{\star} p^{(N)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} R \left( -\sum_{1 \le k < j} p_3^{(k)} + \sum_{j < k \le N} p_3^{(k)} \right) p^{(j)}$$

It can be shown that the  $\star$ -sum can be related to the twisted coproduct of momenta  $P_{\mu}$  in the twisted Poincaré Hopf algebra, with previous angular twist

The twisted Poincaré algebra [Dimitriejivic-Konjik-Samsarov '17]

Poincaré generators:

$$P_{\mu} = -i\partial_{\mu}$$
  
$$M_{\mu\nu} = i(\eta_{\mu\lambda}x^{\lambda}\partial_{\nu} - \eta_{\nu\lambda}x^{\lambda}\partial_{\mu})$$

with  $\eta_{\mu
u}=(+,-,-,-)$  and comm. relations

$$\begin{split} [P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] &= 0, \quad [M_{\mu\nu}, P_{\rho}] = \mathrm{i}(\eta_{\nu\rho}P_{\mu} - \eta_{\mu\rho}P_{\nu}), \\ [M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\rho\sigma}] &= \mathrm{i}(\eta_{\mu\sigma}M_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\rho}M_{\mu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\rho}M_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\nu\sigma}M_{\mu\rho}) \end{split}$$

twisted coproduct of momenta

$$\begin{split} \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} P_0 &= P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0 \\ \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} P_3 &= P_3 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_3 \\ \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} P_1 &= P_1 \otimes \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_3\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_3\right) \otimes P_1 + P_2 \otimes \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_3\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_3\right) \otimes P_2 \\ \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} P_2 &= P_2 \otimes \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_3\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_3\right) \otimes P_2 - P_1 \otimes \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_3\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_3\right) \otimes P_1 \end{split}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト

э

twisted coproduct of Lorentz generators:

$$\begin{split} \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} M_{\mathbf{31}} &= M_{\mathbf{31}} \otimes \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes M_{\mathbf{31}} + M_{\mathbf{32}} \otimes \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes M_{\mathbf{32}} \\ &- P_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \frac{\theta}{2} M_{\mathbf{12}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) + \frac{\theta}{2} M_{\mathbf{12}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes P_{\mathbf{1}} \\ &- P_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \frac{\theta}{2} M_{\mathbf{12}} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) - \frac{\theta}{2} M_{\mathbf{12}} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes P_{\mathbf{2}} \\ \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} M_{\mathbf{32}} &= M_{\mathbf{32}} \otimes \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes M_{\mathbf{32}} - M_{\mathbf{31}} \otimes \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes M_{\mathbf{31}} \\ &- P_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \frac{\theta}{2} M_{\mathbf{12}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) + \frac{\theta}{2} M_{\mathbf{12}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes P_{\mathbf{2}} \\ &+ P_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \frac{\theta}{2} M_{\mathbf{12}} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) + \frac{\theta}{2} M_{\mathbf{12}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes P_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} M_{\mathbf{30}} &= M_{\mathbf{30}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_{\mathbf{30}} - \frac{\theta}{2} P_{\mathbf{0}} \otimes M_{\mathbf{12}} + \frac{\theta}{2} M_{\mathbf{12}} \otimes P_{\mathbf{0}} \\ \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} M_{\mathbf{12}} &= M_{\mathbf{12}} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_{\mathbf{12}} \\ \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} M_{\mathbf{10}} &= M_{\mathbf{10}} \otimes \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes M_{\mathbf{10}} + M_{\mathbf{20}} \otimes \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes M_{\mathbf{20}} \\ \Delta^{\mathcal{F}} M_{\mathbf{20}} &= M_{\mathbf{20}} \otimes \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) + \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes M_{\mathbf{20}} - M_{\mathbf{10}} \otimes \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}P_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \otimes M_{\mathbf{10}} \end{split}$$

The coproducts of momenta  $P_0$  and  $P_3$  and of  $M_{12}$ , the generator of the rotation in the  $x^1x^2$  plane, remain undeformed (primitive); all other coproducts are deformed

#### Twisted differential calculus

The principle adopted is that *every bilinear map* should be consistently deformed [Aschieri-V.-Lizzi '08] by composing it with the twist

$$\mu: A \times B \to C \Longrightarrow \mu_{\star} = \mu \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}$$

the wedge product of two forms of arbitrary degree, ω<sub>1</sub> and ω<sub>2</sub>, is deformed into the \*-wedge product:

$$(\omega_1 \wedge_\star \omega_2)(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(y,z)\omega_1(y) \wedge \omega_2(z)\Big|_{x=y=z}$$

The usual (commutative) exterior derivative satisfies:

$$d(f \star g) = df \star g + f \star dg,$$
  
$$d^2 = 0$$

fulfilled because it commutes with Lie derivatives that enter in the definition of the  $\star\text{-}\mathsf{product}$ 

Since the twist is Abelian, the cyclicity of the integral holds

$$\int \omega_1 \wedge_\star \cdots \wedge_\star \omega_p = (-1)^{d_1 \cdot d_2 \cdots d_p} \int \omega_p \wedge_\star \omega_1 \wedge_\star \cdots \wedge_\star \omega_{p-1},$$

with  $d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_p = 4$ . It can be shown that the twist fulfils an even stronger requirement. Namely, one can check that the \*-product of functions is indeed closed

$$\int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, f \star g = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, g \star f = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, f \cdot g$$

The last property in general does not hold for coordinate dependent  $\star$ -products, as for example  $\kappa$ -Minkowski  $\star$ products or  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  ones

The scalar field theory theory on  $\lambda$ -Minkowski described by

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4 x \, \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi(x) \star \partial^\mu \phi(x) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi(x) \star \phi(x) - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi(x)^{\star 4} \right)$$

- because of the closure of the \*-product, it is possible to replace the \*-product in all quadratic terms by the usual (pointwise) one;
- as a consequence the free propagators are the same as in the commutative theory, but not the vertex;

#### Deformed Conservation of Momentum

Expanding the field  $\phi(x)$  in its Fourier modes

$$\phi(x) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} d^4 p \, e^{-\mathrm{i} p x} \widetilde{\phi}(p)$$

one arrives at the following expression for the classical action in momentum space

$$S = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4} \times \mathbb{R}^{4}} dp \, dq \, \frac{1}{2} \left( -p_{\mu} q^{\mu} \widetilde{\phi}(p) \widetilde{\phi}(q) - m^{2} \widetilde{\phi}(p) \widetilde{\phi}(q) \right) \delta^{(4)} \left( p +_{\star} q \right) \\ - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{\lambda}{4!} \int_{\left( \mathbb{R}^{4} \right)^{\times 4}} dp \, dq \, dr \, ds \, \widetilde{\phi}(p) \widetilde{\phi}(q) \widetilde{\phi}(r) \widetilde{\phi}(s) \delta^{(4)} \left( p +_{\star} q +_{\star} r +_{\star} s \right)$$

- the only difference wrt to the commutative case is the presence of the \*-sum in the delta functions;
- $\blacktriangleright$  these  $\delta$  functions encode the conservation of momentum in the corresponding vertices
- therefore the main difference is the twisted conservation of momentum;
- by computing the one-loop corrections to the propagator (planar and non planar diagram) we find UV/IR mixing

# Summary

- We have reviewed the mathematical framework to describe NC gauge and field theory within two main approaches:
  - the derivation based differential calculus
  - the twist approach
- in the NC setting the definition of symmetries gets modified; we have reviewed full covariance vs twist-covariance in relation with observer-dependent and particle-dependent symmetries;
- a powerful approach is represented by the use of matrix bases: we have said very little about them; the very first full proof of renormalizability to all orders of a NC field theory has been done in the matrix basis;
- we have not touched upon Wick rotation: it is a delicate issue for those models with time noncommutativity
- we have seen many unsolved problems (see my preamble at the workshop): the motivations for looking at NC fields and gauge theory are still valid, but we do not have satisfactory answers yet

イロト イポト イモト イモト