

Noncommutative Electrodynamics through symplectic embedding

Patrizia Vitale

Dipartimento di Fisica Università di Napoli "Federico II" and INFN

COST CA18108 "Workshop on Theoretical Aspects of Quantum Gravity "
Belgrade, Serbia 1.9-3.9 2022

work in collaboration with V. Kupriyanov and M. Kurkov

Outline

Motivations for NC field and gauge theory date back to many decades ago, but still valid:

space-time discreteness emerging from various approaches to quantum gravity,

composition of GR with Quantum Mechanics

UV regularization of quantum field theories

There is no general consensus about the more appropriate formulation and many problems are yet to be solved; the approach chosen here wants to answer two questions

- get a formulation compatible with the commutative limit
- define gauge fields in such a way that gauge transformations compose nicely (i.e. they close a Lie algebra under star commutator)

Review of NCQED

In the standard approach noncommutative gauge and matter fields are described in terms of

- a noncommutative algebra (\mathcal{A}, \star) representing space-time (it replaces $\mathcal{F}(M)$)
- a right \mathcal{A} -module, \mathbb{M} , representing matter fields (it replaces vector bundles);
- a group of unitary automorphisms of \mathbb{M} acting on fields from the left, representing gauge transformations.

The dynamics of fields is described by means of a natural differential calculus based on derivations of the NC algebra;

The gauge connection is the standard noncommutative analogue of the Koszul connection (standard linear connection on vector bundles).

Therefore, the first problem to address is to have a well defined differential calculus, namely, an algebra of \star -derivations of \mathcal{A} such that

$$D_a(f \star g) = D_a f \star g + f \star D_a g$$

For Moyal algebra \mathbb{R}_θ^{2n} a minimal set of derivations, $X \in \text{Der}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$, is given by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$

The case $(\mathcal{A}, \star) = \mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$ Moyal plane

For QED the gauge group is $\widehat{U(1)}$, implying that charged matter fields are 1-dim complex vector fields (sections of 1-d complex vector bundle), namely a right module over $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^4)$

\implies The NC generalization is

- a 1-dim complex right module (one generator) over \mathbb{R}_θ^{2n}

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$$

with Hermitian structure $h : h(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \psi_1^\dagger \star \psi_2$ In this case \mathcal{H} has only one generator, $\mathbf{e} \longrightarrow \psi = \mathbf{e}\psi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n}$

• The connection is completely determined by its action on the module generator:

$$\nabla_X(\psi) = \nabla_X(\mathbf{e})\psi + \mathbf{e}X(\psi)$$

\implies The 1-form connection \mathbf{A} :

▶ $\mathbf{A} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{A}(X) := i\nabla_X(\mathbf{e}), \forall X \in \text{Der}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^{2n})$

▶ $\nabla_\mu(\mathbf{e}) =: -i\mathbf{A}(\partial_\mu) = -ieA_\mu$

▶ so that

$$\nabla_\mu \psi := \nabla_\mu(\mathbf{e}\psi) = \mathbf{e}(\partial_\mu \psi - iA_\mu \star \psi)$$

- Gauge transformations can be identified with the unitaries

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R}_\theta^2) \ni g = \exp_\star(if)$$

▶ **gauge covariance:** $(\nabla_\mu^A)^g(\psi) := g(\nabla_\mu^A(g^{-1}\psi)) = \nabla_\mu^{A^g}(\psi)$

with

$$A_\mu^g = f_g \star A_\mu \star f_{g^{-1}} + if_g \star \partial_\mu f_{g^{-1}}$$

▶ **Curvature:**

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = ([\nabla_\mu^A, \nabla_\nu^A] - \nabla_{[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu]}^A) = \mathbf{e}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i[A_\mu, A_\nu]_\star)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}^g = ([\nabla_\mu^A, \nabla_\nu^A] - \nabla_{[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu]}^A) \stackrel{\text{check}}{=} \mathbf{e}(f_g \star F_{\mu\nu} \star f_{g^{-1}})$$

Implying

$$F_{\mu\nu}^g \star F_{\mu\nu}^g = f_g \star F_{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu} \star f_{g^{-1}}$$

⇒ The natural action $\int F_{\mu\nu} \star F_{\mu\nu}$ is gauge invariant thanks to the cyclicity of the product

Coordinate-dependent noncommutativity

Given the star product of fields in the form

$$f \star g = f \cdot g + \frac{i}{2} \Theta^{ab}(x) \partial_a f \partial_b g + \dots$$

ordinary derivations violate the Leibniz rule,

$$\partial_c(f \star g) = (\partial_c f) \star g + f \star (\partial_c g) + \frac{i}{2} \partial_c \Theta^{ab}(x) \partial_a f \partial_b g + \dots$$

unless Θ is constant \implies star derivations are realised by star commutators

$$D_a f = (\Theta^{-1})_{ab} [x^b, f]_{\star} \xrightarrow{\Theta \rightarrow 0} \partial_a f$$

Lie algebra type star products, $[x^j, x^k]_{\star} = c_l^{jk} x^l$ do admit a generalisation according to

$$D_j f \propto [x^j, f]_{\star}$$

Gauge models have been constructed within the same approach but

- ▶ the limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$, does not yield the standard commutative result [[Géré-V.-Wallet, Wallet & coll.](#)]
- ▶ the differential calculus not adequate to describe the dynamics

Summarising: Already for $[x^\mu, x^\nu]_\star = c_{\kappa}^{\mu\nu} x^\kappa$ we have problems

- the commutative limit ill defined
- the differential calculus does not fully describe the dynamics [this is the case of \mathbb{R}_λ^3 [Hammou-Lagraa-SheikhJabarhi ' 02] where the natural 3 - d differential calculus does not capture radial dynamics]

Alternatives:

- modify the differential calculus (e.g. twist)
- modify the action functional
- ...

Approach presented in this talk

- Work in the semi-classical approximation [star-commutator replaced by Poisson bracket: $(\mathcal{A}, \star) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}(M), \{.,.\}_\Theta)$]
- Reinterpret standard (commutative) gauge theory within symplectic geometry of (T^*M, ω_0) (“canonical embedding”)
- Introduce a new symplectic form ω such that $\pi_*\omega^{-1} = \Theta$,
 $\Theta = \Theta^{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\mu \wedge \partial_\nu$
so to embed non trivial PB of M , $\{.,.\}_\Theta$
- Define gauge transformations, field strength and dynamics, within the modified symplectic embedding

Poisson gauge transformations should satisfy:

the closure of the gauge algebra $[\delta_f, \delta_g]A = \delta\{f, g\}A$

reproduce the standard U(1) gauge transformation $\delta_f A_\mu \xrightarrow{\Theta \rightarrow 0} \partial_\mu f$

Standard $U(1)$ gauge theory revisited

Goal: Show that the infinitesimal gauge transformation of the gauge potential $\delta_f A_\mu = \partial_\mu f$, descends from canonical PB over T^*M , locally $\{p_i, x^j\} = \delta_i^j$, $\{p_i, p_j\} = \{x^i, x^j\} = 0$. (M the space time)

Then define a new gauge transform for the case M endowed with a non-trivial space-time PB $\{x^i, x^j\} \neq 0$

Strategy:

- ▶ Recognize $A_\mu(x)$ as the fibre coordinate at x of a point in the cotangent space
- ▶ Associate the gauge transformation with a canonical transformation generated by the gauge parameter f
- ▶ compute the infinitesimal gauge transformation through Poisson bracket

Consider first the standard setting of $U(1)$ gauge theory, with $\Theta = 0$

- ▶ The cotangent space T^*M is endowed with the canonical symplectic form $\omega_0 = d\lambda_0$, λ_0 the Liouville one-form (locally $p_\mu dx^\mu$)
- ▶ The gauge field A is a local one-form on $M \rightarrow$ it is associated with a local section of the cotangent space, $s_A : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow T^*\mathcal{U}$, through a local trivialisation

$$\psi_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(s_A(x)) = (x, A(x))$$

where \mathcal{U} is a local chart on M .

- ▶ the local one-form

$$\xi_A = \lambda_0 - \pi^* A \quad (**)$$

vanishes locally, through the pullback s_A^*

$$s_A^*(\xi_A) = 0 \quad (\text{i.e. } p_\mu - A_\mu = 0)$$

because $s_A^*(\lambda_0) = A = (\pi \circ s_A)^*(A)$

[Remember that by definition, given a section $s : x \rightarrow u \in T^*M$ s.t. $\psi^{-1}(u) = (x, p)$ ($s^*\lambda_0$)(x) = p - tautological one-form -]

Consider first the standard setting of $U(1)$ gauge theory, with $\Theta = 0$

- ▶ The cotangent space T^*M is endowed with the canonical symplectic form $\omega_0 = d\lambda_0$, λ_0 the Liouville one-form (locally $p_\mu dx^\mu$)
- ▶ The gauge field A is a local one-form on $M \rightarrow$ it is associated with a local section of the cotangent space, $s_A : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow T^*\mathcal{U}$, through a local trivialisation

$$\psi_{\mathcal{U}}^{-1}(s_A(x)) = (x, A(x))$$

where \mathcal{U} is a local chart on M .

- ▶ the local one-form

$$\xi_A = \lambda_0 - \pi^* A \quad (**)$$

vanishes locally, through the pullback s_A^*

$$s_A^*(\xi_A) = 0 \quad (\text{i.e. } p_\mu - A_\mu = 0)$$

because $s_A^*(\lambda_0) = A = (\pi \circ s_A)^*(A)$

[Remember that by definition, given a section $s : x \rightarrow u \in T^*M$ s.t. $\psi^{-1}(u) = (x, p)$ ($s^*\lambda_0$)(x) = p - tautological one-form -]

Thus

- ξ_A vanishes exactly on $\text{im}(s_A) \subset T^*\mathcal{U} \implies \text{im}(s_A)$ is identified by the constraint **
- p , the fibre coordinate at x , gets fixed to its value $A(x)$ identified by the section s_A

Then, the infinitesimal gauge transformation of the gauge potential A , with gauge parameter f , may be defined in terms of the canonical Poisson bracket ω_0^{-1} as follows

$$\delta_f A_\nu(x) = s_A^* \{ \pi^* f, \xi_{A_\nu} \}_{\omega_0^{-1}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial (p_\nu - A_\nu)}{\partial p_\mu} = \partial_\nu f,$$

that is to say, one first performs the Poisson bracket in $T^*\mathcal{U}$, then goes to its local form through s_A , recovering the standard infinitesimal gauge variation of the potential

To summarize

- ▶ we have embedded the trivial PB $\{x^\mu, x^\nu\}$
- ▶ we have identified A with the fibre coordinate of a local section on T^*M
- ▶ we have computed PBs on T^*M
- ▶ and pulled back to M

We can add

$$\delta_f \delta_g A - \delta_g \delta_f A = 0$$

namely

$$[\delta_f, \delta_g]A = \delta_{\{f, g\}_0} A$$

it being $\{f(x), g(x)\}_0 = 0$

The algebra of gauge transformations closes wrt the PB on M

The conjugate momentum p is an auxiliary variable, disappeared from the gauge algebra

Generalization to non-trivial PB and symplectic embedding

The complicated procedure described above, certainly redundant in the canonical case $\Theta = 0$, is extremely useful and constructive for the case $\Theta \neq 0$

Symplectic embedding (SE) is a generalization of symplectic realizations [Weinstein '83]. In this context SE is due to [Kupriyanov & Szabo]

It goes as follows:

Define Λ as a deformation of Θ

$$\Lambda(x, p) = \theta^{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + \gamma_\nu^\mu(x, p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu}$$

satisfying Jacobi identity, provided Θ does; $\pi_* \Lambda = \Theta$

this produces an equation for the unknown γ

$$\gamma_\nu^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} \gamma_\lambda^\xi - \gamma_\nu^\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} \gamma_\lambda^\mu + \theta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \gamma_\lambda^\xi - \theta^{\xi\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \gamma_\lambda^\mu - \gamma_\lambda^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \theta^{\mu\xi} = 0$$

Provided we find solutions for γ , gauge transformations are defined as in the canonical case:

$$\delta_f A_\nu(x) = s_A^* \{ \pi^* f, \xi_{A_\nu} \}_\Lambda$$

with $\xi_A = \lambda_0 - \pi^* A \xrightarrow{\text{locally}} p_\mu dx^\mu - A_\mu dx^\mu$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_f A_a &:= s_A^* \{ \pi^* f, \xi_{A_a} \}_{\omega^{-1}} = \Theta^{rs} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial \xi_{A_a}}{\partial x^s} + \gamma_s^r(x, A) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial \xi_{A_a}}{\partial p_s} \\ &= \{ A_a, f \}_{\Theta} + \gamma_a^r(x, A) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^r}\end{aligned}$$

Once the procedure understood, the result can be restated in a simpler language, by replacing the constraint with its local form

$$\Phi_a := p_a - A_a(x)$$

so to have directly

$$\delta_f A_a := \{ f, \Phi_a \}_{\Phi_a=0} = \{ A_a(x), f(x) \}_{\Theta} + \gamma_a^r(A) \partial_r f(x),$$

where the auxiliary variable p_a has been eliminated through the constraint
notice the deformed derivative $\gamma_a^r \partial_r$

- ▶ The commutative limit $\Theta \rightarrow 0$, $\gamma_\nu^\mu \rightarrow \delta_\nu^\mu$ yields the classical result;
- ▶ Closure of the gauge algebra:

$$[\delta_f, \delta_g]A_\nu = -\{\{f, g\}_\Theta, A_\nu\}_\Theta + \gamma_\nu^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \{f, g\}_\Theta$$

namely $[\delta_f, \delta_g]A_\nu = \delta_{\{f, g\}_\Theta} A_\nu$

Notice that for the Moyal case $\Theta = const \Rightarrow \partial_\mu \Theta^{\rho\sigma} = 0$

$\Rightarrow \gamma_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu$
yielding back

$$\delta_f A_\nu = \partial_\nu f - \{f, A_\nu\}_\Theta$$

Also notice that $\gamma_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu$ also solution of the full noncommutative case yielding $\delta_f A_\nu = \partial_\nu f - [f, A_\nu]_\star$

Solutions for γ_ν^μ have been found for Lie algebra type noncommutativity [Kupriyanov-Kurkov-Vitale '20-'22]; perturbative solutions have been found for general x dependence [Kupriyanov '19]; non uniqueness of solution and relation with Seiberg-Witten map discussed in [Kupriyanov-Kurkov-Vitale '22]

The field strength

What about the field strength?

Let us return to the classical case $\Theta = 0$

$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ may be rewritten in terms of canonical PB as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu} &= s_A^* \{ \xi_{A_\mu}, \xi_{A_\nu} \}_{\Lambda_0} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho} (p_\mu - A_\mu) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\rho} (p_\nu - A_\nu) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho} (p_\nu - A_\nu) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\rho} (p_\mu - A_\mu) \\ &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \end{aligned}$$

\implies a natural generalization when $\{x^\mu, x^\nu\} \neq 0$ would be to use the symplectic embedding again

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = s_A^* \{ \xi_{A_\mu}, \xi_{A_\nu} \}_\Lambda = \{A_\mu, A_\nu\}_\Theta - \gamma_\sigma^\rho(A) \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial p_\nu}{\partial p_\sigma} + \gamma_\sigma^\rho(A) \frac{\partial A_\nu}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial p_\mu}{\partial p_\sigma}$$

namely

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \{A_\mu, A_\nu\}_\Theta + \gamma_\mu^\rho \partial_\rho A_\nu - \gamma_\nu^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu$$

and compute its gauge transformation according to the definition

$$\delta_f \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = s_A^* \{ \pi^* f, \pi^* \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \}_\Lambda$$

However, this definition can be checked not to be covariant

$$\delta_f \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = -\{f, \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}\}_\Theta + \text{unwanted terms}$$

\implies The definition of F has to be modified

In [Kupriyanov-Szabo '21] a solution is searched in the form $F_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\sigma} \tilde{F}_{\rho\sigma}$; this yields an equation for $R_{\mu\nu}^{\rho\sigma}$

To maintain the interpretation in terms of symplectic embedding a solution may be found [Kupriyanov-Kurkov-Vitale '22] by performing a non-linear transformation

$$\Phi_\mu \rightarrow \Phi'_\mu = \rho_\mu^\nu \Phi_\nu$$

with ρ a non-degenerate matrix to be determined by the covariance request. The non degeneracy ensures that $\Phi_\mu = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Phi'_\mu = 0$

We find

- ▶ $\delta_f F_{\mu\nu} = \{F_{\mu\nu}, f\}$
 if $\gamma_b^j \partial_\rho \rho_a^i + \rho_a^b \partial_\rho \gamma_b^j + \Theta^{jb} \partial_b \rho_a^i = 0$
 for arbitrary PB a solution is found in form of a perturbative series
- ▶ for Lie algebra type noncommutativity simple solutions have been found; e.g. λ -Minkowski (or angular noncommutativity) [Dimitrijevic-Konjik-Samsarov '17] \mathbb{R}_λ^3 (or $SU(2)$ like) ...
- ▶ The corresponding gauge invariant Maxwell Lagrangian has been introduced

Conclusions

I have presented a new approach to NC gauge theories based on symplectic embedding, originally started by Kupriyanov and Kupriyanov-Szabo; the approach is related to L_∞ bootstrap [Blumenhagen-Brünner-Kupriyanov-Lüst '18, Kupriyanov-V. '20]

With Max Kurkov we have worked out applications to κ -Minkowski space-time, \mathbb{R}_λ^3 , λ -Minkowski and other Lie algebra-type noncommutativity

To do:

- Matter sector
- Study the dynamics, exhibit solutions
- Go to the full NC regime

Thank you!